FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

+ + + + +

CENTER FOR DEVICES AND RADIOLOGICAL HEALTH MEDICAL DEVICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

+ + + + +

MEETING OF THE DENTAL PRODUCTS PANEL

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY,

OCTOBER 12, 2005

+ + + + +

The meeting convened in the Ballroom Salons A and B of the Hilton Washington D.C. North, 620 Perry Parkway, Gaithersburg, Maryland, at 8:07 a.m., pursuant to notice, Jon B. Suzuki, D.D.S., Ph.D., MBA, Chair, presiding.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

JON B. SUZUKI, D.D.S., Ph.D., MBA, Chair

MICHAEL E. ADJODHA, MchE, Executive

SALOMON AMAR, D.D.S., Ph.D., Voting Member

LEIF K. BAKLAND, D.D.S., Consultant

DAVID L. COCHRAN, D.D.S., Voting Member

B. GAIL DEMKO, D.M.D., Consultant

ELIZABETH S. HOWE, Non-Voting Member, Consumer Rep.

WILLIAM J. O'BRIEN, M.S., Ph.D., Voting Member

DANIEL R. SCHECHTER, J.D., Non-Voting Member, Consumer Rep.

DOMENICK T. ZERO, D.D.S., M.S., Voting Member

JOHN R. ZUNIGA, Ph.D., D.M.D., Voting Member

CHIU S. LIN, Ph.D., FDA

CONTENTS

PAGE
Root Canal Cleanser, Myra E. Browne 4
Classification Form of Root Canal Cleanser,
Marjorie Shulman 7
Root Apex Locator, Michael J. Ryan 22
Classification Form of Root Apex Locator,
Marjorie Shulman 30
Dental Mouthguards, Dr. Kevin P. Mulry 44
Public Comment:
Michael Lester, Dental Concepts, LLC 51
Classification form for Dental Mouthguards 63
Public Comment:
Michael Lester, Dental Concepts, LLC 88
Charles Jolly, President Brands Holdings 90
Elias Diacopoulos 99
OTC Use of Dental Mouthguards, Dr. Kevin P.
Mulry 108
Open Comment on OTC Use of Dental Mouthguards:
Dr. Noshir Mehta 115
Questions to Panel
Presentation by FDA, Dr. M. Susan Runner 178

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	(8:07 a.m.)
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: The meeting of the
4	Dental Products Panel will come to order.
5	The first item on our agenda this morning
6	is root canal cleansers, and I'd like to present Ms.
7	Myra Browne.
8	MS. BROWNE: Good morning. I'm Myra
9	Browne, and this morning we are seeking the panel's
10	recommendation to classify root canal cleansers.
11	My presentation will include a device
12	description, regulatory history, medical device
13	reports, risk to health and mitigation, and FDA's
14	classification proposal for root canal cleansers.
15	Root canal cleansers are substances
16	introduced directly into the root canal in order to
17	clean and lubricate the root canal during endodontic
18	treatment. Successful root canal treatment results in
L9	removal of the smear layer, debris, calcifications,
20	and possibly reduces microbial contaminants.
21	Poot ganal gleangers are pre-amendment

Since 1976, these

devices.

22

devices have been

regulated as unclassified devices and are cleared through the 510(k) premarket notification process. canal cleansers contain different Root active ingredients to achieve optimum results. These ingredients may include EDTA, carbamide peroxide, and quaternary ammonium compounds.

We have cleared one root canal cleanser that contains the antimicrobial agent doxycycline. Consultation with the Center for Drugs was completed for this application.

Root canal cleansers are regulated by the premarket notification process. To date, FDA has cleared 14 root canal cleanser 510(k)s.

A search of the FDA medical device report database brought up no accuracy events for root canal cleansers. This table identifies the risk to health associated with root canal cleansers and FDA's proposed mitigations for addressing these risks. The risk to health associated with root canal cleansers are adverse tissue reaction to any components of the cleanser, improper use of the device, and device failure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

proposed mitigation The is a 1 special 2 controls guidance document which will include chemical 3 device labeling, prescription use, characterization, biocompatibility testing, 4 and 5 preclinical testing. addition, a consultation with 6 7 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research required. Voluntary standards, such as ISO 10993 and 8 9 ISO 7405 apply to the biocompatibility of root canal 10 cleansers. 11 conclude, То FDA proposing the os following classification for root canal cleansers. 12 13 The identification will read, "A root canal cleanser is a device that is used to clean and lubricate a root 14 canal during endodontic instrumentation." 15 The classification will read Class II, 16 17 special controls. The special control for this device 18 would be the guidance document Class II, special controls guidance document, root canal cleanser. 19 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

MS. BROWNE: Are there any questions?

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
2	Browne.
3	Are there any questions from the panel?
4	And before I continue, I wanted to
5	indicate for the record that Dr. Solomon Amar is not
6	at the meeting today.
7	Okay. Any questions for Ms. Browne?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. We now have
10	an open comment session concerning the proposed
11	classification of the root canal cleanser.
12	I would like to ask if there's anyone in
13	the audience who wishes to address the panel. Please
14	approach the microphone and identify yourself.
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. If not, I'd
17	like to next call on Ms. Shulman to read the panel to
18	complete the classification forms.
19	MS. SHULMAN: Good morning, again. We'll
20	start with the general device classification
21	questionnaire. You can please place your name, the
22	date, the generic type of device on the top of the

1	form.
2	Okay. The first question: is the device
3	life sustaining or life supporting? If you would like
4	to go around.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. I'd like to
6	call on the panel beginning with Dr. Cochran again.
7	DR. COCHRAN: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
9	DR. O'BRIEN: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero?
11	DR. ZERO: No.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
13	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: And the
15	representatives, Ms. Howe.
16	MS. HOWE: No.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
18	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
20	DR. BAKLAND: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: And Dr. Demko.
22	DR. DEMKO: No.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously no
2	for Question 1.
3	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
4	Question 2, is the device for use which is
5	of substantial importance in preventing impairment of
6	human health?
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Going around the
8	panel again, Dr. Cochran.
9	DR. COCHRAN: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
11	DR. O'BRIEN: No.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
13	DR. ZERO: No.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
15	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: The representatives,
17	Ms. Howe.
18	MS. HOWE: No.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
20	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Consultants, Dr.
22	Bakland.

1	DR. BAKLAND: No.	
2	2 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.	
3	DR. DEMKO: No.	
4	4 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Una	nimously
5	5 no.	
6	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.	
7	7 Number 3: does the device pr	esent a
8	potential unreasonable risk of illness or injur	τλ.
9	9 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Aga	ain, Dr.
10	O Cochran?	
11	DR. COCHRAN: No.	
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.	
13	DR. O'BRIEN: No.	
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.	
15	DR. ZERO: No.	
16	6 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.	
17	7 DR. ZUNIGA: No.	
18	8 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: The represen	tatives,
19	9 Ms. Howe.	
20	MS. HOWE: No.	
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter	
22	2 MR. SCHECHTER: No.	
J		

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Consultants, Dr.
2	Bakland.
3	DR. BAKLAND: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
5	DR. DEMKO: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously
7	no.
8	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
9	Four, did you answer yes to any of the
10	above three questions? The answer is no. We go to
11	number five. Is there sufficient information to
12	determine that general controls those are the
13	Class I are sufficient to provide reasonable
14	assurance of safety and effectiveness?
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Cochran?
16	DR. COCHRAN: No.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
18	DR. O'BRIEN: No.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
20	DR. ZERO: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
22	DR. ZUNIGA: No.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: The representatives,
2	Ms. Howe.
3	MS. HOWE: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
5	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
7	DR. BAKLAND: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
9	DR. DEMKO: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously
11	no.
12	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
13	Number 6: is there sufficient information
14	to establish special controls in addition to general
15	controls to provide reasonable assurance of safety and
16	effectiveness?
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Cochran?
18	DR. COCHRAN: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
20	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
22	DR. ZERO: Yes.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
2	DR. ZUNIGA: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
4	MS. HOWE: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
6	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
8	DR. BAKLAND: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
10	DR. DEMKO: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously yes.
12	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
13	Number 7, if there is sufficient
14	information to establish special controls to provide
15	reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness,
16	identify the special controls needed to provide such
17	reasonable assurance for the Class II. Again, it can
18	be any on the sheet or any others.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: I'll begin with Dr.
20	Cochran.
21	DR. COCHRAN: The guidance document.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien?

1	DR. O'BRIEN: The guidance document.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
3	DR. ZERO: Guidance.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
5	DR. ZUNIGA: Guidance document.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Representatives, Ms.
7	Howe.
8	MS. HOWE: Guidance document.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: Guidance document.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
12	DR. BAKLAND: Guidance documents.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
14	DR. DEMKO: Guidance document.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously guidance
16	document.
17	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
18	Okay. Again, number eight and nine we may
19	skip because that only has to do with performance
20	standards, and Question 10 only has to do with Class
21	III devices.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Low, medium or

1	high.
2	Dr. Cochran.
3	DR. COCHRAN: Low.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. O'Brien.
5	DR. O'BRIEN: Low.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
7	DR. ZERO: Low.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
9	DR. ZUNIGA: Low.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Representatives, Ms.
11	Howe.
12	MS. HOWE: Low.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
14	PARTICIPANT: We don't need to do this.
15	MS. SHULMAN: We're moving to Question 11
16	now. Question 11 is the prescription statement again,
17	and again, these were prescription devices pre-
18	amendment. So the first one would apply. If there's
19	anything else you'd like to add at this time you can
20	let us know.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. The needed
22	restrictions. Dr. Cochran.

1	DR. COCHRAN: First box.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. O'Brien.
3	DR. O'BRIEN: First box.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
5	DR. ZERO: First box.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
7	DR. ZUNIGA: First box.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
9	MS. HOWE: First box.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
11	MR. SCHECHTER: First box.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
13	DR. BAKLAND: First box.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
15	DR. DEMKO: First box.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously first
17	box.
18	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
19	Okay. We can move on to the supplemental
20	data sheet. Again, if you can place your name on the
21	top, the generic type of device, the advisory panel,
22	and is the device an implant? The answer is no.

1	Question 4, the indications for use was
2	presented by Ms. Browne. It's up on the screen now.
3	If there's any comments or you can put "as presented
4	during the panel meeting."
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any comments,
6	questions on number four?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
9	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
10	We can move on to number five, the
11	identification of the risks, again, are up on the
12	screen as presented during the panel meeting. If
13	there's no additions you can put as presented during
14	the panel meeting.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any comments,
16	questions on number five?
17	(No response.)
18	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
19	Number six, the recommended advisory
20	classification is Class II and the priority, again, is
21	high, medium or low.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Any questions

1	on this before I go through priorities?	
2	(No response.)	
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning with	L
4	Dr. Cochran.	
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. O'Brien.	
6	DR. O'BRIEN: Low.	
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.	
8	DR. ZERO: Low.	
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.	
10	DR. ZUNIGA: Low.	
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.	
12	MS. HOWE: Low.	
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.	
14	MR. SCHECHTER: Low.	
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.	
16	DR. BAKLAND: Low.	
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.	
18	DR. DEMKO: Low.	
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously	r
20	low.	
21	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.	
22	Number seven we may skip because it's not	•
	NEAL D. CDOSS	

1	an implant or life sustaining or life supporting.
2	And number eight, the summary of clinical
3	information, information including clinical experience
4	or judgment upon which the classification
5	recommendation is based you may say is presented
6	during the panel meeting or add anything else at this
7	time.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any comments or
9	questions on number eight from the panel?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
12	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
13	Number nine, identification of any needed
14	restrictions on the use of the device, for example,
15	special labeling, besides the prescription use
16	statement we already have.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Any questions,
18	comments on number nine?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
21	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
22	Number ten we may skip because that only

1	has to do with Class 1 devices.
2	Number 11, would you recommend it to be
3	exempt from premarket notification?
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Any questions
5	before we go around the panel?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Cochran.
8	DR. COCHRAN: Nonexempt.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
10	DR. O'BRIEN: Nonexempt.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
12	DR. ZERO: Nonexempt.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
14	DR. ZUNIGA: Nonexempt.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Representatives, Ms.
16	Howe.
17	MS. HOWE: Not exempt.
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
19	MR. SCHECHTER: Not exempt.
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
21	DR. BAKLAND: Nonexempt.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
1	

1	DR. DEMKO: Not exempt.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously
3	nonexempt.
4	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
5	Number 12, any other existing standards
6	besides the ones that are presented that you'd like to
7	add at this time?
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Questions or
9	comments on number 12?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
12	MS. SHULMAN: Okay. Thank you.
13	Mow, if you'd please like to vote on the
14	forms as filled out as a Class II device requiring
15	premarket notification subject to the special controls
16	guidance document.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: I'll ask if you're in
18	favor or opposed to our supplemental.
19	Dr. Cochran.
20	DR. COCHRAN: In favor.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
22	DR. O'BRIEN: In favor.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
2	DR. ZERO: In favor.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
4	DR. ZUNIGA: In favor.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Representatives, Ms.
6	Howe.
7	MS. HOWE: In favor.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
9	MR. SCHECHTER: In favor.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
11	DR. BAKLAND: In favor.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
13	DR. DEMKO: In favor.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously in favor.
15	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: I'm going to skip the
17	break and we'll continue with the next presentation on
18	root apex locators. Mr. Michael Ryan.
19	MR. RYAN: Good morning. I'm Michael
20	Ryan, and I'll be presenting on the classification of
21	root apex locators.
22	The presentation will follow the order

laid out on this slide. We'll go through a brief description and regulatory history of these devices, medical device reports, risks to health, and possible mitigations, and the FDA proposal.

Root apex locators are intended to measure the working length of a patient's root canal by finding the apical form in the vat canal. This procedure is adjunctive to other endodontic procedures.

Root apex locators consist of source, a lip clip electrode, a root canal probe, and They operate by employing a small a display unit. The liquid is hooked to the side of voltage current. a patient's mouth and the root canal probe, a piece that is similar to an endodontic file, is placed in the patient's root canal. The power source applies the current, and patients oral tissue forms a complete The location device measures the impedance circuit. between the lip and the probe and the impedance between the lip and the apex is a know value. the probe moves through the canal different impedance measured and displayed as values are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

positions.

The voltage supplied by the device differs between models as does the display.

More recent innovations include different displays such as the one shown here, and they're used in attempts to improve ease of use. Instead of metal root canal probes and devices integrated holders that could just grasp a typical endodontic file, many attempts to increase accuracy have been made. Probes were insulated with plastic coatings in an attempt to resist interference from oral electrolytes, like blood or saliva. Original devices usually employ the direct current. Newer devices found that AC current was more reliable.

Some devices use multiple frequencies to measure impedances. This was based on findings that the ratio between impedances measured at different frequencies is a more reliable value than an impedance measured at one frequency.

These devices have been on the market since prior to 1976. They have been regulated unclassified devices due to 510(k). The earliest

submission was received in 1986, and 18 510(k)s have been cleared to date.

A search of the medical device report database showed no adverse event reports for root apex locators.

The most apparent risk to health for these devices are electrical in nature. The most obvious of those is electrical shock. Any time a patient and/or a user is exposed to current, someone getting shocked is a possibility. This risk can be mitigated by insuring that the devices are designed according to appropriate specifications that will keep the voltage and current below dangerous levels.

In addition, preclinical electrical safety testing should be provided in order to show that electrical shock will not be a problem. This testing will take into consideration not just the voltage or current levels, but the design of the device.

Compliance with voluntary standards, such as IEC 60601, is an example of one way in which a manufacturer might satisfy necessary testing and demonstrate electrical safety.

Finally, labeling can be used to provide warnings that will lessen the risk of electrical shock. Language instructing the user not to attempt certain repairs of the device would be useful.

Another important consideration with dealing with electronic devices is electromagnetic interference. Again, compliance with IEC 60601 would mitigate this risk.

Other risks include cross-contamination, which is an issue due to the fact that this device is Any parts that contact the patient require reusable. sterilization or replacement between patients. Labeling should instruct the user to properly sterilize the device. Any sterilization protocol needs to be validated according to voluntary standards, such as ISO 11134.

Adverse tissue reaction to patient contact with materials is another possible risk. To avoid this risk, biocompatibility will have to be insured. Relevant biocompatibility standards, such as ISO 10993 and ISO 7405 are appropriate.

Improper use is also a consideration.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Improper use could lead to patient pain, tissue
2	damage, or inaccurate readings. Good labeling and a
3	restriction to prescription use only should mitigate
4	this.
5	Device failure is a remote risk which
6	could lead to inaccurate readings. Accuracy testing
7	will mitigate this.
8	Finally, FDA would like to propose the
9	following. The identification, the root apex locator
10	is an electronic device intended to measure the
11	working length of a root canal. This would be a Class
12	II special controls device, and special control would
13	be the guidance document, Class II, special controls
14	guidance document, root apex locators.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you.
17	I'd like to ask the panel if they have any
18	questions on the presentation to Mr. Ryan. Dr.
19	O'Brien.
20	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes. Which voltage ranges
21	do these operate in?
22	MR. RYAN: I don't have a specific range

DR. O'BRIEN: It's low. So do any of them ploy batteries instead of direct connection with wer? MR. RYAN: Yes, the power source is
ver?
MD DYNN: You the power gourge is
MR. KIAN: les, the power source is
ally a rechargeable battery. It's not usually
nnected to the wall itself.
DR. O'BRIEN: Another question. Have
ere been studies on the accuracy of the root canal
oths as compared to actually sectioning the teeth?
MR. RYAN: Accuracy testing usually
nsists of either measuring the manufacturer
ther measures their device against radio just X-
vs or they measure it against another device that's
ready on the market, and they usually do this in a
tical study of, you know, small number of patients.
DR. O'BRIEN: What's the range of
curacy?
MR. RYAN: Oh, the range of accuracy is
aally within half a millimeter.
DR. O'BRIEN: Okay. So they're quite

1	MR. RYAN: Yes.
2	DR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Other questions,
4	comments? Dr. Bakland.
5	DR. BAKLAND: Just a comment on that.
6	Actually there have been a number of studies, you
7	know, comparing the actual length using teeth, and the
8	current consensus is that properly done, electronic
9	apex locators are even more accurate than radiographs.
LO	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you.
L1	Questions, comments? Dr. Zuniga.
L2	DR. ZUNIGA: Does the electromagnetic
L3	proposed mitigation cover or would it determine if
L4	there's any interference with pacemaker and other
L5	implantable electrical devices?
L6	MR. RYAN: Well, that would most likely
L7	have to be part of the labeling. You know, use it
L8	do not use it when the user or the patient has a
L9	pacemaker.
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Other
21	comments, questions?
22	(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. We now have an 1 2 open comment session concerning the proposed 3 classification of the root apex locator. If there's 4 anyone in the audience who wishes to address the 5 panel, please approach the microphone and identify yourself for the record. 6 7 (No response.) If none, I'd like to 8 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: call again on Ms. Shulman to lead the panel to 9 10 complete the classification. 11 MS. SHULMAN: Okay. Thank you. 12 Again, if you can place your names on the 13 sheet, the date, the generic type of device on the top 14 of the form, and we will start with Question 1. Is 15 the device life sustaining or life supporting? 16 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning with 17 Dr. Cochran. 18 DR. COCHRAN: No. 19 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien. 20 DR. O'BRIEN: No. 21 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero? 22 DR. ZERO: No.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
2	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: And the
4	representatives, Ms. Howe.
5	MS. HOWE: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
7	
	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
9	DR. BAKLAND: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: And Dr. Demko.
11	DR. DEMKO: No.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously
13	no.
14	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
15	Number two, is the device for use which is
16	of substantial importance in preventing impairment of
17	human health?
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Again polling the
19	panel, Dr. Cochran.
20	DR. COCHRAN: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
22	DR. O'BRIEN: No.
	1

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
2	DR. ZERO: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
4	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
6	MS. HOWE: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
10	DR. BAKLAND: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
12	DR. DEMKO: No.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously
14	no.
15	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
16	Question 3: does the device present a
17	potential unreasonable risk of illness or injury?
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning
19	again with Dr. Cochran.
20	DR. COCHRAN: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
2	DR. ZERO: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
4	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: The representatives,
6	Ms. Howe.
7	MS. HOWE: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
9	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
11	DR. BAKLAND: No.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
13	DR. DEMKO: No.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously no.
15	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
16	Number four, did you answer yes to any of
17	the above three questions? The answer is no. We will
18	go to number five. Is there sufficient information to
19	determine that general controls are sufficient to
20	provide reasonable assurance of safety and
21	effectiveness?
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning

1	again with the panel, Dr. Cochran.
2	DR. COCHRAN: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
4	DR. O'BRIEN: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
6	DR. ZERO: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
8	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
10	MS. HOWE: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: Sine my company
13	manufactures these devices I'm sure they'd love me to
13 14	
	manufactures these devices I'm sure they'd love me to
14	manufactures these devices I'm sure they'd love me to say yes, but I'll say no.
14 15	manufactures these devices I'm sure they'd love me to say yes, but I'll say no. (Laughter.)
14 15 16	manufactures these devices I'm sure they'd love me to say yes, but I'll say no. (Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
14 15 16 17	manufactures these devices I'm sure they'd love me to say yes, but I'll say no. (Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland. DR. BAKLAND: No.
14 15 16 17 18	manufactures these devices I'm sure they'd love me to say yes, but I'll say no. (Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland. DR. BAKLAND: No. CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
14 15 16 17 18 19	manufactures these devices I'm sure they'd love me to say yes, but I'll say no. (Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland. DR. BAKLAND: No. CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko. DR. DEMKO: No.
14 15 16 17 18 19	manufactures these devices I'm sure they'd love me to say yes, but I'll say no. (Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland. DR. BAKLAND: No. CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko. DR. DEMKO: No. CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously

1	Number 7: if there is sufficient six,
2	is there sufficient information to establish special
3	controls in addition to general controls to provide
4	reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness?
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning
6	again, Dr. Cochran.
7	DR. COCHRAN: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
9	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
11	DR. ZERO: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
13	DR. ZUNIGA: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
15	MS. HOWE: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
17	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
19	DR. BAKLAND: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
21	DR. DEMKO: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously yes.

1	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
2	Number 7, if there is sufficient
3	information to establish special controls to provide
4	reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness,
5	identify the special controls needed to provide such
6	reasonable assurance for Class II.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: I'll begin with Dr.
8	Cochran.
9	DR. COCHRAN: The guidance document.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien?
11	DR. O'BRIEN: The guidance document.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
13	DR. ZERO: Guidance document.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
15	DR. ZUNIGA: Guidance document.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Representatives, Ms.
17	Howe.
18	MS. HOWE: Guidance document.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
20	MR. SCHECHTER: Guidance document.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
22	DR. BAKLAND: Guidance document.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
2	DR. DEMKO: Guidance document.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously guidance
4	document.
5	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
6	Again, Question 8 and 9 we may skip
7	because that only has to do with performance
8	standards, and 10 only has to do with Class III
9	devices.
10	Number 11, identify the need of
11	restrictions. The first one is the prescription
12	statement. It was a prescription device, but if
13	there's anything else you'd like to add at this time.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Any questions
15	before we vote?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Cochran.
18	DR. COCHRAN: First box.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. O'Brien.
20	DR. O'BRIEN: First box.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
22	DR. ZERO: First box.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
2	DR. ZUNIGA: First box.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
4	MS. HOWE: First box.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
6	MR. SCHECHTER: First box.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
8	DR. BAKLAND: First box.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
10	DR. DEMKO: First box.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously only upon
12	written and oral authorization of the practitioner
13	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
14	Okay. Now we will move on to the
15	supplemental data sheet. Again, your name, the
16	generic type of device, the advisory panel, and number
17	three, is the device an implant? No.
18	Number four, the indications for use were
19	presented and they're on the screen. You can say on
20	the form "as presented" or you can add anything else
21	at this time.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any questions or

1	comments on number four?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
4	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
5	Number five, the identification of the
6	risks to health presented by the device. There were
7	two slides of this that were presented during the
8	panel meeting. You can say "as presented on the form"
9	or you can add anything else at this time.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any other questions
11	or comments on number five from the panel?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
14	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
15	Number six, the recommended advisory
16	classification is Class II and the priority, again,
17	could be high, medium or low.
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Choosing
19	either high, medium or low, Dr. Cochran?
20	DR. COCHRAN: Low.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
22	DR. O'BRIEN: Low.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
2	DR. ZERO: Low.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
4	DR. ZUNIGA: Low.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
6	MS. HOWE: Low.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: Low.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
10	DR. BAKLAND: Low.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
12	DR. DEMKO: Low.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously
14	low.
15	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
16	Number seven we may skip because it's not
17	an implant or life sustaining or life supporting.
18	And number eight, the summary of
19	information, including clinical experience or judgment
20	upon which the classification recommendation is based.
21	Again, you may add anything or say as presented in
22	the panel meeting.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Questions or comments
2	on number eight from the panel?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
5	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
6	Number nine, identification of any needed
7	restrictions on the use of the device. We already
8	have the prescription labeling. If there's anything
9	else you'd like t add.
LO	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Any questions
L1	or comments on number nine?
L2	(No response.)
L3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: No.
L4	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
L5	Number ten we may skip because that's a
L6	Class I question.
L7	Number 11, if the device is recommended
L8	for Class II, recommend whether FDA should exempt it
L9	from premarket notification.
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Either exempt
21	or nonexempt. Dr. Cochran.
22	DR. COCHRAN: Not exempt.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
2	DR. O'BRIEN: Not exempt.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
4	DR. ZERO: Not exempt.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
6	DR. ZUNIGA: Not exempt.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Representatives, Ms.
8	Howe.
9	MS. HOWE: Not exempt.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
11	MR. SCHECHTER: Not exempt.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
13	DR. BAKLAND: Not exempt.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
15	DR. DEMKO: Not exempt.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously
17	nonexempt.
18	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
19	Number 12, any other existing standards
20	that you know of that you'd like to add?
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Questions or
22	comments on number 12?

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. None.
3	MS. SHULMAN: Okay. Thank you.
4	Mow, if you'll please vote on the forms as
5	completed as a Class II device requiring premarket
6	notification subject to the special controls guidance
7	document.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. The panel will
9	now vote on either in favor or opposed to the
10	document.
11	Dr. Cochran.
12	DR. COCHRAN: In favor.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
14	DR. O'BRIEN: In favor.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
16	DR. ZERO: In favor.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
18	DR. ZUNIGA: In favor.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Representatives, Ms.
20	Howe.
21	MS. HOWE: In favor.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.

1	MR. SCHECHTER: In favor.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
3	DR. BAKLAND: In favor.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
5	DR. DEMKO: In favor.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously in favor.
7	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. I would like
9	next to proceed with the FDA presentation on dental
10	mouthguards. Dr. Kevin Mulry, Dental Officer, will be
11	presenting.
12	Okay, Dr. Mulry.
12	Okay, Dr. Mulry. DR. MULRY: Thank you.
13	DR. MULRY: Thank you.
13	DR. MULRY: Thank you. Good morning. I'm Kevin Mulry, and I
13 14 15	DR. MULRY: Thank you. Good morning. I'm Kevin Mulry, and I would like to thank the panel for taking the time
13 14 15 16	DR. MULRY: Thank you. Good morning. I'm Kevin Mulry, and I would like to thank the panel for taking the time today to discuss the proposed classification of dental
13 14 15 16 17	DR. MULRY: Thank you. Good morning. I'm Kevin Mulry, and I would like to thank the panel for taking the time today to discuss the proposed classification of dental mouthguards.
13 14 15 16 17	DR. MULRY: Thank you. Good morning. I'm Kevin Mulry, and I would like to thank the panel for taking the time today to discuss the proposed classification of dental mouthguards. This slide outlines the topics I intend to
13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MULRY: Thank you. Good morning. I'm Kevin Mulry, and I would like to thank the panel for taking the time today to discuss the proposed classification of dental mouthguards. This slide outlines the topics I intend to discuss during my presentation. It includes dental

and the FDA classification proposal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

dental mouthguard is an intraoral therapeutic device fabricated from hard or soft acrylic that is intended for protection against tooth jaw clinching that may grinding, bruxism, and associated with temporomandibular disorder syndrome or orificial pain. It is also intended to provide shortterm pain relief for muscle spasm associated with occlusal interference, and it can be associated with increased muscular activity.

Devices labeled exclusively for protecting teeth during recreational use would not be covered by this classification.

Most of the 510(k)s for mouthguards submitted to the Dental Branch have included bruxism as an indication for use. So I would like to spend a few minutes discussing bruxism.

Bruxism refers to subconscious, nonfunctional grinding and clinching of the teeth. It commonly occurs during sleep, but may occur during the day and can play a significant role in temporomandibular disorders. Mouthguards are intended

for the prevention of impairment to the function of 1 2 the teeth, jaw or orimusculature. 3 The functional anatomy involved in bruxism 4 includes the components of the temporomandibular 5 joint, the articular surfaces, and the muscles of 6 mastication. 7 The signs and symptoms of bruxism are teeth grinding or clinching, teeth that are worn or 8 9 chipped, increased tooth sensitivity, jaw pain, pain, 10 chronic facial and ear or jaw muscle 11 contractions. 12 Mouthquards have been individually 13 fabricated for each patient by dentist in their 14 offices since at least the 1940s. Recently these 15 products have been commercialized, and FDA has cleared 16 approximately eight 510(k)s that all prescription 17 devices. 18 There has been only one adverse event reported for dental mouthguards which resulted from 19 20 the defective package. This table identifies the risk to health 21

and proposed mitigations to address these risks. Risk

to health posed by mouthguards include adverse tissue reactions which may be mitigated by the use of biocompatibility testing and labeling. Standards that apply to biocompatibility testing of mouthguards include ISO 10993 and ISO 7405.

The risk of device failure may be demonstrated by tissue irritations or increased orificial pain. Device failure may be mitigated through preclinical testing of the device and proper labeling.

Other risks to health include jaw pain, tooth pain, joint noises, loosening or shifting of the teeth a change in bite that lasts longer than a few minutes, or improper use.

A mitigation for these risks is labeling, which includes a specification for prescription use and, therefore, assumes a competent intervention on behalf of the patient. Prescription devices are exempt from the requirements of adequate directions for use for the layperson.

This slide presents the FDA proposed Code of Federal Regulations identification stated as a

NEAL R. GROSS

1	dental mouthguard is an interoral therapeutic device
2	intended to provide protection against tooth grinding,
3	bruxism or jaw clinching that may result in orificial
4	pain.
5	The proposed classification is Class 2
6	with special controls. The special controls for this
7	device would be the special controls guidance
8	document, Class II special controls guidance document,
9	dental mouthguard.
10	Thank you, and I'd be glad to answer any
11	questions.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. I'd like to
13	ask the panel if there are any questions on the
14	presentation. Dr. Zuniga.
15	DR. ZUNIGA: Of the 14 or I'm sorry
16	eight 510(k) devices that you described, how many are
17	hard and how many are soft acrylic?
18	DR. MULRY: Probably evenly divided.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
20	DR. DEMKO: My question is how many are
21	available only through a dentist, and are any of them
22	over the counter at this point?

1	DR. MULRY: To date all over-the-counter
2	dental mouthguards are medical devices and are
3	prescription only devices.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
5	DR. ZUNIGA: Do the devices have an
6	expiration date on them? In other words, are they
7	intended to be used for a short time, long time, or is
8	there any indication on any of the devices?
9	DR. MULRY: We have not had any expiration
10	date or limitations on use of those devices in the
11	labeling to date.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Other comments,
13	questions? Ms. Howe.
14	MS. HOWE: You had mentioned that there
15	was a defective package. Do you know how it was
16	defective?
17	DR. MULRY: It was a very sketchy report.
18	It really didn't provide a lot of details. It almost
19	sounded like it was a device that somebody may have
20	returned or it had been opened. Somebody was looking
21	at the device and somehow soiled it some. So we're
22	not real sure. It wasn't a very detailed report.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. O'Brien.
2	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes. For the over-the-
3	counter prescription devices, how does the patient
4	establish the right fit of the device for his
5	particular mouth?
6	DR. MULRY: Well, we don't have any over-
7	the-counter devices cleared at the present time.
8	DR. O'BRIEN: I thought you mentioned
9	that.
LO	DR. MULRY: Well, let me clarify. We
L1	consider over-the-counter devices if one were to be
L2	cleared to be medical devices, which would require a
L3	510(k) and would be prescription devices at the
L4	present time, but to date we have not cleared any
L5	over-the-counter devices for over-the-counter dental
L6	mouthguards.
L7	DR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
L8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you for the
L9	clarification.
20	DR. MULRY: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any others from the
22	panel?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. At this time we have an open comment session concerning the proposed classification of dental mouthguards. There are two companies that wish to present, and I'd like to first call on Dental Concepts.

And you'll have designated about ten minutes to present, please, and please come to the microphone and identify yourself.

Thank you, and this presentation and discussion will be related primarily to classification.

MR. LESTER: Good morning. My name is Michael Lester. I am president of Dental Concepts.

Okay. Thank you.

Dental Concepts is a company that was founded by a dentist, Dr. Eugene Wagner, in 1981. It's built around the belief that self-care is a critical element in overall oral health. Basically Dr. Wagner's philosophy was that it was a partnership between the dentist and the patient for oral care, and the result is a line of products that you can see

depicted along the bottom of the screen. Some of them you may recognize from local drugstores and food stores and that kind of thing. It's a line of interdental cleaners, picks, gum massagers, the kind of things that people, consumers, should do at home to foster better oral care.

We also market two products for Bruxism.

One is called NightGuard, and it has been sold in the same channels that all of our other business is, which is essentially retail stores, since 1997.

The second product is called BruxGuard, which we sell to dentists for chair side use, and that's been sold since 2003.

Dr. Mulry has already described bruxism. Essentially what we put up here is the dictionary definition, which describes bruxism as a habit. I think the important thing about that is that it is a habit that may, in fact, lead to a medical condition, but in and of itself it really is a commonplace and everyday habit. It occurs, according to the ADA, in up to 90 percent of the population at one time or another. They have a fairly broad definition of

bruxism, which includes clinching and pencil chewing and cheek biting, and so on.

Night time teeth grinding, as everyone knows, if it's left untreated can be damaging. It also produces an absolutely awful sound, and it can be very annoying to your sleep partner, to say the least.

The management of bruxism is fairly simple and straightforward, and that is to put something between the teeth. You have two hard surfaces rubbing together and doing damage and essentially what you want to do if you're a dentist or a consumer is to put something in between them.

Obviously if you cushion the teeth you'll also eliminate noise. We use in both products, we use something that we're referring to here as boil and bite technology. It's essentially a system that I think just about everyone is familiar with. If you've ever used an athletic mouthguard certainly you're familiar with it. You drop a mold into a pot of boiling water and shape the mold to the teeth, and then the mold hardens as it cools.

What you're left with is a bite plate that

NEAL R. GROSS

serves as the necessary cushion. It's held in place by suction. The suction is produced by the shaping of the device up around the teeth and gums.

It's very easy for consumers to use, and as I said, it's a familiar fitting system. Something in the order of 20 to 30 million athletic mouthguards are sold every year, and by and large they use this technology.

This is what the NightGuard product looks like, and I'd like to point out a couple of First of all, it's available in features to you. three sizes. You may be able to see in the illustration on the left, the one with the hand in it, that there's a channel built into the device. That. channel is to make sure that the teeth are centered so that the anterior-posterior positioning is correct. And the teeth have nowhere else to go basically but into that channel.

The thickness of the bit place portion, the portion under the teeth is assured by the fact that in the fitting you don't bite down. All you do is to bite firmly and then shape the device up around

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the teeth so that the bite place portion is left with one and a half to two millimeters of thickness, and it's a uniform thickness all the way around.

There's also -- I'm not sure if you can see it -- but in the right-hand illustration it may be a little clearer. There's a centering device. There's a guide point in the center so that the lateral fit is a little bit easier to accomplish.

This is essentially what a formed product looks like.

The BruxGUard, which I mentioned earlier, is identical to the NightGuard, except for the way it's labeled and the way it's marketed. It has a 510(k) clearance and has had since 2003. It offers only professional instructions for fitting. It's designed for the dentist to fit at chair side. It includes medical claims, including claims having to do with short term pain relief, prevention of chronic tension, prevention of TMJ syndrome.

This device is sold only through health care professionals and is one of the ones that Dr. Mulry mentioned earlier.

Our proposed classification is Class I, 510(k) exempt, general controls if marketed solely as a boil and bite physical barrier for nighttime use, and that is a device that makes no medical claims whatsoever, and Class II special controls if marketed for management of medical conditions and sequelae, and of course, making medical claims.

Our reasoning is that there should be a distinction within the category based on labeling differences. The FDA commonly imposes different levels of regulation on the same technology based upon its labeled or intended use, and you'll see a more elaborate description of that in our submission.

Boil and bite mouthguards intended only as a physical barrier are safe. There have been no reports of injury in the medical literature dealing with soft mouthguards. We're referring to this as a soft mouthguard. An extensive search of the medical literature has shown no reports of the kinds of injury that have been described.

It's, I think, important to note that over three million units of our NightGuard product have

NEAL R. GROSS

been sold since 1997, and that's without a single consumer injury, complaint, or legal action. We haven't had a complaint of injury. We haven't had a call from a dentist. We haven't had a call from a dentist. We haven't had a lawsuit. Essentially we are adverse event free over three million units.

The FDA's general controls, GoodNight examples, good manufacturing practice and adverse event reporting are sufficient to provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of these products. Therefore, they belong in Class I.

Our rationale for Class ΙI is that labeled mouthguards for management of medical conditions and sequelae raised more significant issues and that FDA review of supporting data through the 510(k) process may be warranted.

In summary, a mouthguard intended only as a boil and bite physical barrier to bruxism should be placed in Class I. A mouthguard intended to manage medical conditions and sequelae should be placed in Class II.

Mr. Chairman, before I leave, we also

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	forgot to add the warnings and caution section to the
2	submission that you have. I have copies here and I'd
3	like to give them to you to be distributed. Okay?
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Please do.
5	While he's doing it, are there any
6	questions from the panel on this presentation? Dr.
7	Demko.
8	DR. DEMKO: My question is the
9	NightGuard. Does it have a hard acrylic base so that
LO	where the mandibular teeth touch it that's against
L1	hard acrylic, or is it completely soft?
L2	MR. LESTER: No, ma'am. It's completely
L3	soft, although the density of the plastic on the base
L 4	portion is harder than the density of the plastic on
L4 L5	portion is harder than the density of the plastic on the upper portion so that the base portion holds its
L5	the upper portion so that the base portion holds its
L5 L6	the upper portion so that the base portion holds its form, but it doesn't hold its form in the way that an
L5 L6 L7	the upper portion so that the base portion holds its form, but it doesn't hold its form in the way that an acrylic mouthguard does
L5 L6 L7	the upper portion so that the base portion holds its form, but it doesn't hold its form in the way that an acrylic mouthguard does DR. DEMKO: Is there indentation when the
L5 L6 L7 L8	the upper portion so that the base portion holds its form, but it doesn't hold its form in the way that an acrylic mouthguard does DR. DEMKO: Is there indentation when the mandibular teeth

1	were three different sizes. Is one intended to be for
2	children?
3	MR. LESTER: No, no. We specifically say
4	in the labeling that it's not to be used for children.
5	The three sizes are for adults, obviously, women, and
6	men, but the device also in the instructions it
7	allows the device to be cut if it's a little too long.
8	You know, it's not the kind of material that can't be
9	trimmed. So if there's any risk of it going too far
10	back, it can be cut, but not for children.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
12	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes. Are there any studies
13	comparing the accuracy of the fit of these devices
14	compared to the traditional ones, which are made by
15	impression taking?
16	MR. LESTER: No, sir.
17	DR. O'BRIEN: Also, is there any clinical
18	data that exists that shows the noncompliance with the
19	use of the device?
20	One of the problems with mouthguards is
21	that if they don't fit right, they won't report it.
22	They won't complain, but they just won't wear them.

1	MR. LESTER: Well, nothing formal on that.
2	We do have and have had all the years we've been on
3	the market an unconditional money back guarantee, and
4	the usual retail price for this product is 24.99 in
5	retail stores. So at \$25, I think if somebody wants
6	something that is unsatisfactory, they're probably
7	more likely to send it back to us.
8	What we find is that most often the
9	complaints are not of it didn't fit or it wasn't
10	comfortable. Most often it's "I'm sending you back
11	the medium because I really need the large."
12	But we don't have a historical record of
13	compliance and noncompliance, no.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you.
15	Other questions? Ms. Howe.
16	MS. HOWE: I have a question about that
17	sizing. On the packaging, how do you advise the
18	consumer to select one of the three sizes?
19	MR. LESTER: We use height and body weight
20	as rough guidelines, and we know that it's not as
21	accurate a measurement, but that's the reason that we
22	offer them a money back guarantee if they take the

1	wrong size.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
3	DR. ZUNIGA: Just for my clarification,
4	your indications for differences between the
5	NightGuard and the BruxGuard are few or are they the
6	same? Are they slightly different?
7	MR. LESTER: They're the same. They're
8	the same product with different labeling and different
9	instructions for use.
10	DR. ZUNIGA: Then the question is I
ll	thought I saw on the BruxGuard you claim prevention of
12	TMJ disorders, and I'm wondering what information or
13	data you have on that.
14	I know the NIH published their consensus
15	report in 1996 indicating that there are no known
16	preventions or prophylactic therapies for TMD.
17	MR. LESTER: That's the 510(k) product.
18	So those claims were, in fact, cleared by the FDA, and
19	all of it, I think, is based on historical and
20	predicate device information. We essentially took
21	labeling for that that was labeling that had already
1.1	

been set by the FDA as approvable labeling for such

devices.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Other questions or comment? Dr. Cochran.

DR. COCHRAN: Does your company feel that Bruxism can lead to TMJ problems?

MR. LESTER: We will have a presentation on that later on. It will be a lot more authoritative than I can make, but what I've been told about that subject, Doctor, is that it can, but it doesn't necessarily.

And we view our over-the-counter product as a product that really is designed for a simple -if I can coin a phrase, simple bruxism that has not become complicated, and you'll see in our labeling that we specifically warn people who have been told that they have TMJ or that have jaw clicking or any of the symptoms that may be associated with TMJ not to do this and to go to the doctor or to go to the dentist.

And we also say on our labeling that after no more than three months' use you should take it with you to the dentist and have your dentist confirm that you're doing something good for yourself and not

1	something bad for yourself.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Other comments,
3	questions? Ms. Howe.
4	MS. HOWE: Will we be hearing this
5	additional information when we consider the over-the-
6	counter issue?
7	MR. LESTER: Yes, yes. That's this
8	afternoon.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you, Mr.
LO	Lester.
L1	MR. LESTER: Thank you very much.
L2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: You may distribute
L3	the addendum.
L4	Okay. The next presenter is Respironics.
L5	Ms. Yurko.
L6	Okay. We'll continue to have an open
L7	comment session regarding the proposed classification
L8	of dental mouthguards. I'd like to ask if there's
L9	anyone else in the audience who wishes to address the
20	panel.
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. If not, I will

1	ask Ms. Shulman to lead the panel to complete the
2	classification forms.
3	DR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chairman, what is the
4	FDA proposed classification for these devices?
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: It's II, but I can
6	have Ms. Shulman review that again.
7	DR. O'BRIEN: All right.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: If you want to put up
9	the slide.
10	Okay. As we're filling out the forms, at
11	this time in light of the discussions and
12	presentations, I'd like to ask the panel if you'd like
13	any further discussion on possibly splitting the
14	classification to I and IIs. Mr. Schechter?
15	MR. SCHECHTER: I guess I have a question
16	for the FDA, maybe Dr. Mulry. Has the FDA given any
17	consideration as to whether there should be a split in
18	the classification as suggested by Dental Concepts?
19	MR. LESTER: No, we haven't because we
20	have viewed to date that all dental mouthguards are
21	prescription devices and require a 510(k), and I think
22	we have looked at the issue of bruxism as being more

1	than just a benign condition, but one that affects the
2	whole oral musculature, the TMJ and can have an impact
3	not only on the teeth, but can cause jaw pain, chronic
4	facial pain, et cetera.
5	So we have viewed it as a global as one
6	type of classification, whether no matter what the
7	design has been we have looked at it as all being of
8	assistance in the treatment of orificial pain. So,
9	no, we haven't.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: So then I guess to
11	clarify
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter again.
13	MR. SCHECHTER: Thank you.
14	When we have a discussion later about
15	possible over-the-counter use, even if the panel
16	recommends that some are available for over-the-
17	counter use, they would still all be Class II devices;
18	is that correct?
19	MR. LESTER: That's for the panel to
20	determine, I would believe.
21	MR. SCHECHTER: Well, I'm just asking if
22	that's the FDA's suggestion.

MR. LESTER: At this point in time we do believe that Class II guidance document, special controls, would be the appropriate classification for these devices.

MR. SCHECHTER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Other questions? Dr. Demko.

Well, I do want to make a DR. DEMKO: comment on the major researchers out there who are now looking at bruxism, which is going Levigne's in Montreal, Tommy Shoholm in group Stockholm, Mea Waki in Tokyo, that these people when they look at bruxism, Mea Waki's work says that according to his research, 80 percent of -- when we study bruxism in the laboratory, they're actually looking at rhythmic masseter movement; that 80 percent of what he sees is related to very mild acid reflux. So you're talking about bruxism being a symptom quite often of things that could be more serious diseases, which is one of the reasons to keep this within the realm of the dentist viewpoint because if there's acid reflux into the mouth, you'll see the damage on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

teeth. It is different from bruxism. 1 2 Other times it can be central nervous 3 system people who have organic brain disease. A lot It is not truly a habit. 4 of them are bruxers. It is 5 cause, especially nocturnal something that has а 6 bruxism, which is when most damage is done. 7 These guards are not made to be worn during the day when it would be a habitual thing. 8 9 They're made to be worn at night when it is a central 10 nervous system reflex to something going on in the 11 body. 12 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you. 13 Ms. Howe. I'd like to ask clarification 14 MS. HOWE: 15 on that. If the ADA is saying that 90 percent of the 16 population has some kind of a grinding problem or 17 clinching problem, what percent of the population are 18 you addressing with the bruxism diagnosis? When the ADA said that, they 19 DR. DEMKO: 20 included all oral habits, nail biting and biting 21 inside of your cheek. Those are truly habits. Ιf

you're looking at this guard is made to be worn at

night with nocturnal bruxism, which is a different
animal from a daytime habit, so if you read the
article in the ADA, you'll actually see that those
people who are nocturnal bruxers are a much smaller
number and probably run closer to about eight to ten
percent of the population, but they're the ones who
are doing damage to their teeth, not daytime bruxers.
Clinchers daytime, those people will end
up with muscle pain if they're daytime clinchers, but
again, these guards are not made to be worn during the
day.
CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Other comments,
questions?
The Chair would still like to maintain a
motion one way or the other as to whether or not to
split this classification or to proceed forward as
Class II. Can I have a motion from any panel member?
DR. DEMKO: I make a motion that it should
be a Class II.
CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Is there a
second?
DR O'RRIEN: Veg I second it

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. It as been
2	moved and seconded. All in favor on the panel any
3	questions or discussion?
4	MS. HOWE: Question.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
6	MS. HOWE: My understanding, this does not
7	preclude us from our follow-up discussion on over-the-
8	counter and to consider others as Class I. True?
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: That's correct.
10	MS. HOWE: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: That's the next
12	topic, I believe.
13	Dr. Runner?
14	DR. RUNNER: I think just to clarify this,
15	this is Susan Runner.
16	The classification doesn't have anything
17	to do with OTC or not. You can have an OTC product
18	that's Class II as well.
19	MS. HOWE: Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you.
21	Any other questions?
22	(No response.)

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Can you repeat the
2	motion, Dr. Demko?
3	DR. DEMKO: I make a motion that we label
4	all dental mouthguards as Class II devices.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. I will poll
6	the members. All in favor or opposed? Dr. Cochran.
7	DR. COCHRAN: In favor.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien?
9	DR. O'BRIEN: In favor.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
11	DR. ZERO: In favor.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
13	DR. ZUNIGA: In favor.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
15	MS. HOWE: Opposed.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
17	MR. SCHECHTER: Opposed.
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
19	DR. BAKLAND: In favor.
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
21	DR. DEMKO: In favor.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: It passes. So we

1	will proceed with a position of Class II.
2	MS. SHULMAN: This is Marjorie Shulman.
3	Just for clarification, that was just to
4	decide if we were going to go through the forms twice
5	to split the record or not. It's not officially
6	classified until we go through the form.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Right. We're
8	proceeding with the discussion that it will be Class
9	II.
10	MS. SHULMAN: Okay. Again on the general
11	classification questionnaire, your name, the date, the
12	generic type of device, and then the first question.
12	generic type of device, and then the first question. CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. MS. SHULMAN: Is the device life
13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. MS. SHULMAN: Is the device life sustaining or life supporting? .
13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. MS. SHULMAN: Is the device life sustaining or life supporting? . CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning with
13 14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. MS. SHULMAN: Is the device life sustaining or life supporting? . CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning with Dr. Cochran.
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. MS. SHULMAN: Is the device life sustaining or life supporting? . CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning with Dr. Cochran. DR. COCHRAN: No.
13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. MS. SHULMAN: Is the device life sustaining or life supporting? . CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning with Dr. Cochran. DR. COCHRAN: No. CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
	CHAIRPERSON SUZURI: DI. Zuniga.
2	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Representatives, Ms.
4	Howe.
5	MS. HOWE: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
7	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
9	DR. BAKLAND: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: And Dr. Demko.
11	DR. DEMKO: No.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously
13	no.
14	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
15	Question 2, is the device for use which is
16	of substantial importance in preventing impairment of
17	human health?
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Beginning
19	against with the panel, Dr. Cochran.
20	DR. COCHRAN: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
22	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
2	DR. ZERO: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
4	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
6	MS. HOWE: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
10	DR. BAKLAND: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
12	DR. DEMKO: No.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. No is the
14	majority.
15	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
16	Number 3: does the device present a
17	potential unreasonable risk of illness or injury?
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Cochran?
19	DR. COCHRAN: No.
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
21	DR. O'BRIEN: No.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	DR. ZERO: No.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
3	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: The representatives,
5	Ms. Howe.
6	MS. HOWE: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
8	MR. SCHECHTER: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
10	DR. BAKLAND: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
12	DR. DEMKO: No.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously
14	no.
15	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
16	Number four, did you answer yes to any of
17	the above three questions? The answer is no. So we
18	go to number five. Is there sufficient information to
19	determine that general controls are sufficient to
20	provide reasonable assurance of safety and
21	effectiveness?
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Cochran?

1	DR. COCHRAN: No.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
3	DR. O'BRIEN: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
5	DR. ZERO: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
7	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
9	MS. HOWE: Yes
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
11	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
13	DR. BAKLAND: No.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
15	DR. DEMKO: No.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. No is the
17	majority.
18	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
19	Number six: is there sufficient
20	information to establish special controls in addition
21	to general controls to provide reasonable assurance of
22	safety and effectiveness?

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Cochran?
2	DR. COCHRAN: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
4	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
6	DR. ZERO: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
8	DR. ZUNIGA: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
10	MS. HOWE: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
14	DR. BAKLAND: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
16	DR. DEMKO: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Unanimously
18	yes.
19	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
20	If yes, classify in II and go to Item 7.
21	If there is sufficient information to establish
22	special controls to provide reasonable assurance of

1	safety and effectiveness, identify the special
2	controls needed to provide such reasonable assurance
3	for Class II.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Beginning with Dr.
5	Cochran.
6	DR. COCHRAN: The guidance document.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien?
8	DR. O'BRIEN: The guidance document.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
10	DR. ZERO: Guidance document.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
12	DR. ZUNIGA: Guidance document.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
14	MS. HOWE: Guidance document.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
16	MR. SCHECHTER: Guidance document.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
18	DR. BAKLAND: Guidance documents.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
20	DR. DEMKO: Guidance document.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously guidance
22	document.

1	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
2	Question 8 and 9 only have to do with
3	performance standards. We may skip. Question 10 only
4	has to do with Class III devices. We can move on to
5	Question 11. Identify the need of restrictions. The
6	pre-amendment I was a prescription device. So the
7	first one would apply.
8	Is there anything else that should be
9	added or any comments?
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Questions,
11	comments on number 11?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Mr. Schechter.
14	MR. SCHECHTER: I don't know if this is
15	the appropriate time since we're going to talk later
16	on about whether these could possibly be available
17	over the counter, but we're also talking about it now.
18	So in other classifications we have said that they
19	can be available prescription and OTC. Do we need to
20	discuss that now or can we pass the question on until
21	the afternoon?

MS. SHULMAN: This is Marjorie Shulman.

1	The other ones that we discussed were
2	available prescription and over-the-counter pre-
3	amendment, and at that time, pre-1976.
4	MR. SCHECHTER: Okay.
5	MS. SHULMAN: As far as we know, this one
6	was prescription pre-amendment. So since we're
7	classifying the pre-amendment device, the prescription
8	should stay.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Does that
10	answer your question?
11	MR. SCHECHTER: I'm not sure. Should we
12	be voting now to have both possibly, indications,
13	prescription and OTC, or not?
14	MS. SHULMAN: No, not at this time. I
15	think that's a discussion for later.
16	MR. SCHECHTER: Okay. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any other questions
18	or comments before I poll the panel?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Cochran.
21	DR. COCHRAN: First box.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.

1	DR. O'BRIEN: First box.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
3	DR. ZERO: First box.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
5	DR. ZUNIGA: First box.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
7	MS. HOWE: First box.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
9	MR. SCHECHTER: First box.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
11	DR. BAKLAND: First box.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
13	DR. DEMKO: First box.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Unanimously first
15	box.
16	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
17	Okay. We can move on to the second sheet,
18	the supplemental data sheet. Again, your name on the
19	top of the form, the generic type of device, the
20	advisory panel, and is the device an implant? The
21	answer is no.
22	Question 4, is the indications for use

1	that was presented during the panel meeting. You can
2	fill in the form "as presented" or you can add
3	anything or comment at this time.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any comments on
5	number four from the panel?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. None.
8	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
9	We can move on to number five, the
10	identification of the risks to health presented by the
11	device. Again, were presented in the panel
12	presentation. If there's any comments or you can say
13	"as presented."
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any questions on
15	number five from the panel?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: None.
18	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
19	Number six, the recommended advisory
20	classification. Priority classification is II and the
21	priority, again, high, medium or low.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. High, medium

1	or low. Dr. Cochran.
2	DR. COCHRAN: Medium.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
4	DR. O'BRIEN: Medium.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
6	DR. ZERO: Medium.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
8	DR. ZUNIGA: Medium.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
10	MS. HOWE: Medium.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
12	MR. SCHECHTER: Medium.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
14	DR. BAKLAND: Low.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
16	DR. DEMKO: Medium.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. The majority
18	is medium priority.
19	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
20	Number seven we may skip because the
21	device is not an implant or life sustaining or life
22	supporting.

eight, And number the 1 summary of 2 information, information including clinical experience 3 which the classification or judgment upon 4 recommendation is based, again, you may say 5 presented in the panel meeting or you may add anything else at this time. 6 7 (No response.) 8 MS. SHULMAN: If there are no comments, we 9 can go to Question 9. The identification of any 10 needed restrictions on the use of the device, special 11 We labeling banning prescription have use. 12 prescription use. Any others? 13 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko. 14 DEMKO: simply add DR. I would that 15 nocturnal bruxism may be an indication of a more 16 serious medical condition, reflux such as acid 17 disease. 18 MS. SHULMAN: Thank you. Noted. 19 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Other Mr. Schechter. 20 comments? 21 MR. SCHECHTER: In the guidance document 22 that FDA will produce perhaps give consideration to

1	two levels of requirements in terms of labeling and
2	information submitted based on what dental concepts
3	presented here today. I can say that I went out and
4	bought the NightGuard just to five it a try, and it's
5	very simple to use. I would hate for this
6	classification to, you know, block that product.
7	So just give it consideration in the
8	guidance.
9	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you. Any other
11	comments on number nine?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. None
14	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
15	Number ten we may skip because that is for
16	Class 1 devices.
17	Number 11, if it's recommended for Class
18	I, we would like your opinion on whether we should
19	exempt it from premarket notification.
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Either exempt
21	or nonexempt. Dr. Cochran.
22	DR. COCHRAN: Not exempt.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
2	DR. O'BRIEN: Not exempt.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
4	DR. ZERO: Not exempt.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
6	DR. ZUNIGA: Nonexempt.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
8	MS. HOWE: Exempt.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
10	MR. SCHECHTER: Not exempt.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
12	DR. BAKLAND: Not exempt.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
14	DR. DEMKO: Not exempt.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. The majority
16	is not exempt.
17	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
18	Number 12, any other existing standards
19	known?
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Comments or
21	questions from the panel on number 12?
22	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien speaking.
2	DR. O'BRIEN: Have you checked with the
3	American Dental Association? I'd be surprised if they
4	didn't have some type of standard for mouthguards
5	since they're so widely used.
6	MS. SHULMAN: I will ask the division.
7	DR. O'BRIEN: Not aware of any? Okay.
8	MS. SHULMAN: They're not aware of any.
9	Okay. If you'll please vote on the form
10	as filled out as a Class II device requiring premarket
11	notification subject to the special controls guidance
12	document.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. We'll next
14	vote on the supplemental data sheet as filled out. I
14 15	vote on the supplemental data sheet as filled out. I ask either in favor or opposed beginning with Dr.
15 16	ask either in favor or opposed beginning with Dr.
15	ask either in favor or opposed beginning with Dr. Cochran.
15 16 17	ask either in favor or opposed beginning with Dr. Cochran. DR. COCHRAN: In favor.
15 16 17 18	ask either in favor or opposed beginning with Dr. Cochran. DR. COCHRAN: In favor. CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
15 16 17 18	ask either in favor or opposed beginning with Dr. Cochran. DR. COCHRAN: In favor. CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien. DR. O'BRIEN: In favor.

1	DR. ZUNIGA: In favor.
2	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Representatives, Ms.
3	Howe.
4	MS. HOWE: In favor.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
6	MR. SCHECHTER: In favor.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
8	DR. BAKLAND: In favor.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
10	DR. DEMKO: In favor.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. It passed in
12	favor.
13	MS. SHULMAN: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. We'll take a
15	15 minute recess at this time.
16	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off
17	the record at 9:23 a.m. and went back on
18	the record at 9:49 a.m.)
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: We will now call the
20	meeting back to order.
21	We will now hold the second open public
22	hearing session for this meeting. If there are any

individuals wishing to address the panel, please raise your hands and identify yourselves at this time.

Okay. Mr. Lester. And you're reminded that the same identification processes, disclosure requirements, and ten minute time limit announcement at the first open public hearing session yesterday will apply to this session as well.

MR. LESTER: Thank you.

This is an unscheduled appearance, and it's basically in response to a statement that was made earlier that I'd like to issue a clarification of. I had said that bruxism devices, such as the NightGuard, had been on the market. I think I said had been on the market for some years previous to our appearance in 1997, and this bears on the statement that was made that there were no OTC pre-amendment devices.

So the next two speakers, me and the next speaker, are both going to bring you some specific evidence of pre-amendment devices, pre-1976 devices that were sold over the counter.

I'm going to hand out, if I may, Mr.

NEAL R. GROSS

Chairman, this ad that appeared in the New York Times. 1 2 Unfortunately, it's a copy of a fax and is only 3 barely legible. So if I may, I'd like to just read the copy from this so that when you have a look at it 4 5 you'll be able to understand what the words are. that okay? 6 7 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Certainly. The ad is dated July 15th, 8 MR. LESTER: 9 1973. It appeared in the New York Times. I will give 10 you a bad copy of it, but we'll have much more clear 11 copies of it to issue at some later date. 12 Basically the ad reads as follows: 13 "Instant relief for people who grind their teeth at 14 night. Grinding causes bruxism." In parens it says, "Excessive wear of teeth which may cause severe facial 15

"Excessive wear of teeth which may cause severe facial pain affecting the jaws, ears, teeth, gums, and causing headaches, stiffness to neck muscles and changing the shape of the face itself."

"Mouthpiece, which is what it's called, is

"Mouthpiece, which is what it's called, is a tasteless, odorless, plastic device that conforms to your teeth and prevents the teeth from contact."

So that's my own handwriting which is

16

17

18

19

20

21

worse than the ad, and essentially that's my statement, and I'd like to give this ad to you if I may.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Certainly.

Okay. Other speakers? Please come to the podium and identify yourself please.

MR. JOLLY: Good morning. My name is Charles Jolly. I am Secretary and General Counsel of Prestige Brands Holdings, a New York Stock Exchange publicly traded company.

I apologize for my informality. I was not planning to speak this morning, but I wish to provide the panel and the FDA with some information that I think has relevance to your deliberations given the presentation which I've observed this morning and the things that I've heard.

Prestige Brands is currently evaluating two acquisition prospects in the nighttime dental guard category, one of which actually was the subject of a patent issued in 1975 to a Dr. Gilbert Mann. This device we have found was not only offered for sale commercially pre-amendment, but it was actually

advertised in <u>Reader's Digest</u> magazine and has continued to be commercialized from before the medical device amendments were passed in the 1974-75 period, but continuously up until the present.

As I say, Prestige Brands is looking at two current prospects for acquisition. We have a copy of Dr. Mann's patent, and I think the copies have just arrived, which I would be pleased to submit to the panel, which will demonstrate that these devices not only have been in the marketplace; they were all overthe-counter devices, and as I say, preceded the passage of the medical device amendments.

My understanding is that the FDA staff believed that these devices have been prescription devices since their development in the 1940s. My research and my investigation would indicate otherwise.

As I say, I came to this meeting simply to observe what was going on, but hearing the testimony I feel compelled to provide for the record the findings, and I have a copy of Dr. Mann's patent, which I will submit, but I would also be prepared to make a more

detailed submission to the panel and to the agency to document the existence of this position.

Given would those circumstances, respectfully suggest that since the FDA staff had predicated the classification decision on the fact that all of these devices have always been prescription devices, the panel may wish to reconsider that vote.

Secondly, I would like to point out as we start to consider the OTC segment, I'm certainly not a physician and don't pretend to address the medical questions with any classification. What I do know is that these devices save literally millions of teeth from chipping and grinding and surface wear., and so I think the panel needs to take into consideration the benefit-risk calculation, that is, millions of teeth being saved from damage and harm and patients whose sleep partners are sleepless and all of the other issues which go along with having these devices mae over the counter.

Jeff, do we have copies?

Okay. With the Chairman's permission,

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we'll try to -- I have a copy of the original Gilbert Mann patent, dated December 9th of 1975, which I would be pleased to submit for the record, and I would be pleased to answer any questions.

can assure you that Prestige Brands, due when we do our diligence in looking at acquisitions, we look very carefully into the history and the background of our prospective candidates, and one of the things I am pleased to tell you that is in the millions of these units that have been sold OTC in the two companies that we're now examining, we have yet to find a single instance of adverse reaction, harm, injury, legal complaint or any other adverse consequence of using these devices, and I think that speaks well to their utility in the hands of the consumer.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Does the panel have any questions or discussion to this presenter? Dr. Zuniga.

DR. ZUNIGA: Your device you're describing was for an athletic mouthguard and bruxism or bruxism alone?

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MR. JOLLY: The devices that we're looking
2	at are for nighttime tooth grinding, and if we
3	consider that bruxism, fine, but it is for that
4	expression of the symptom.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any other questions
6	or discussion? Dr. Demko.
7	DR. DEMKO: My question is that the patent
8	was granted in 1975. What proof do you have it was
9	over the counter in 1976?
10	MR. JOLLY: We've actually been in contact
11	with Dr. Mann and his associates, and the
12	commercialism of the product actually preceded the
13	patent. As you know, you can file for a patent
14	before, and I would be prepared to submit for the
15	record documentation that the product, in fact, was
16	commercialized even prior to the issuance of the
17	patent.
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Other questions? Ms.
19	Howe.
20	MS. HOWE: Do you know if the American
21	Dental Association has been asked to look at the
22	product and add their endorsement?

MR. JOLLY: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the
first part of the question.
MS. HOWE: Has the American Dental
Association been asked to review the product and
endorse it?
MR. JOLLY: At this point Prestige is not
the owner or sponsor of a product. We were evaluating
some products for acquisition, and so I have not had
personally any contact with the American Dental
Association that I can refer to as a matter of
personal knowledge. Perhaps some of the other
witnesses will be able to address that question.
CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Any other questions?
Mr. Schechter.
MR. SCHECHTER: This question is more for
the FDA. If this information is accurate, do you need
the panel to revote on the issue of the pre-amendments
device or can you just take this information into
consideration.
MS. SHULMAN: This is Marjorie Shulman.
For a matter of clarification, the panel
would not make the decision if the device is pre-

1	amendment or not pre-amendment. We have procedures
2	there in the Office of Device Evaluation, the Center
3	for Devices and Radiological Health to make that
4	determination through our Office of Compliance.
5	However, you may make a motion. You may
6	wish to move to reopen the sheets and discuss that,
7	but it is not up to the panel to make the
8	determination if it is over-the-counter or not.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Or, Ms. Shulman, as
10	an alternative, could this panel make a motion to
11	reconsider the classification based upon evidence
12	provided if it's accurate and then submit to FDA for
13	reclassification?
14	MS. SHULMAN: Yes, that could be done.
15	I'm thinking that there's a number of ways that could
16	be done if pre-amendment status for the over-the-
17	counter is shown. It can be done. Comments can be
18	placed in the proposed reg. There's
19	DR. COCHRAN: Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Yes, Dr. Cochran.
21	DR. COCHRAN: It seems like there are two
22	issues here. One is whether we would actually

1	reclassify if, indeed, it was over-the-counter prior
2	to 1976. That's one issue.
3	The second issue is if we would not
4	reclassify and leave it as a Class II device, then the
5	only real change that would occur would be in our box
6	number 11 on that second sheet, where we would add the
7	other category in OTC.
8	So I would make a motion that we recommend
9	that the FDA investigate whether it was over-the-
10	counter or not prior to 1976, and if so, we would
11	recommend that we would add an additional box,
12	"other," with OTC as our checkpoint if, indeed, that's
13	the way it comes out.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Is there a second to
15	the motion before we can discuss it?
16	DR. O'BRIEN: Yeah, I'll second the
17	motion.
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Discussion on
19	the motion.
20	Can you repeat the motion one more time,
21	please, Dr. Cochran?
22	DR. COCHRAN: The motion is that the FDA

1	do through the process to determine if the device was
2	OTC or not. If it was OTC, that the panel would
3	recommend that on Box No. 11 we would recommend that
4	we check that box off and add OTC to that form.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. I'll call the
6	question and I'll poll the panel. Dr. Cochran.
7	DR. COCHRAN: I approve that.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
9	DR. O'BRIEN: I approve.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
11	DR. ZERO: I approve.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
13	DR. ZUNIGA: I approve.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
15	MS. HOWE: I approve and thank the panel
16	for that clarification.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
18	MR. SCHECHTER: I approve.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
20	DR. BAKLAND: Approve.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
22	DR. DEMKO: I approve on the basis that

what we're doing is asking the FDA to look into 1 2 something. 3 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: So it passes unanimously. So we will direct the FDA to investigate 4 5 that and follow through. MR. JOLLY: Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Lin. 8 DR. LIN: I started my report now up to now that all that mouthguard device we have created, 9 all clear as a prescription device, but now that the 10 11 question posed to the panel that based the 12 information provided by the industry that this 13 mouthquard can be marketed as OTC or not, that's the 14 issue I think that we put to the panel. 15 This is not the way that FDA should 16 investigate whether this product is marketed as OTC 17 prior to 1976. That's a totally different issue. 18 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you. 19 Okay. We have seven more minutes for the 20 open public session. Are there anymore individuals 21 who would like to dome forward? Please come forward 22 and identify yourself, please.

1	MR. DIACOPOULOS: My name is Elias
2	Diacopoulos.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Wait. Can you speak
4	into the microphone please?
5	MR. DIACOPOULOS: My name is Elias
6	Diacopoulos. I'm with Respironics. I'm a Director of
7	Research and Development for a Sleep Well Ventures
8	business unit which is part of Respironics.
9	I do have a prepared statement to recite.
10	There were copies outside, but I did notice that it
11	was the incorrect revision. I did bring 30 copies
12	just in case. May I hand those out, please?
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Certainly.
14	MR. DIACOPOULOS: I will leave some of the
15	extras out from for the rest of the public.
16	Thank you for the opportunity to
17	participate today. Respironics is a worldwide leader
18	in providing solutions to the sleep and respiratory
19	markets. Our products and programs help clinicians
20	and patients manage sleep problems. Our focus at this
21	meeting is to address three potential concerns
22	regarding over-the-counter, OTC, use of occlusal split

bruxism therapy.

Number one, preliminary research validates that occlusal splints for the treatment of bruxism can aggravate obstructive sleep apnea, OSA.

Number two, preliminary evidence also indicates that bruxism may be a reflexive response to a reduced airway, that is, airway occlusion due to OSA or enlarged tonsils.

And, number three, occlusal splints have documented side effects associated with the oral dryness, pain, et cetera.

Our purpose in attending this meeting is to educate the audience given that FDA has previously determined, based on the outcomes of the joint meeting of the FDA dental products and ear, nose and throat panels in October 2004, that it will not allow the OTC marketing of intraoral devices for snoring or OSA.

This decision was made based on the risks involved with the selection, fit, and use of these devices, notwithstanding the potential to incorrectly treat a more critical diagnosis, such as OSA.

Clinical use of mandibular advancement devices,

NEAL R. GROSS

MADs. In clinical dentistry and sleep medicine, the use of mandibular advancement devices is a recognized management strategy for two respiratory disturbances during sleep: snoring and sleep apnea. In sleep medicine, snoring and sleep apnea are classified under obstructive sleep apnea and hypopnea syndrome, OHAHS. Although snoring is found in 25 percent of the adult population, the prevalence of OHAHS when estimated with sleep PSG recordings is around two to four percent.

Clinicians should be aware that patients who complain about snoring may suffer from undiagnosed sleep apnea, a medical condition that carries an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, including hypertension, stroke, daytime sleepness, altered memory, enuresis, performance deficit, lost productivity, reduced quality of life, and periodic leg movement during sleep.

At the other end of the sleep disordered breathing spectrum lies snoring which affects up to 40 million Americans. In addition to the physiological co-morbidities, snoring presents patients with

significant social and quality of life impacts.

Sleep disorder breathing describes a group of disorders characterized by abnormalities of the respiratory pattern, example, pauses in breathing, or the quality of ventilation during sleep. SDB may affect up to 50 million Americans.

oral appliances for treatment OTC bruxism. In the general population, the estimated prevalence of tooth grinding sleep bruxism is eight The prevalence of pain caused by temporal mandibular disorders, TMD, is eight to 15 percent for women and three percent to ten percent for men. Ιt has been estimated that more than three million oral splints, also called occlusal splints, are fabricated each year in the United States to manage sleep bruxism Although the mechanism of action of the and TMD. split is unknown, it is possible that splints may modify the space between the dental arches. The mandible is then slightly lowered and could reduced, and the space of the tongue may also be reduced.

This leads one to ponder whether using

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

such a device might alter airway patency especially during sleep. This conjecture is based on the observation that in the sleep of normal subjects the tongue and hyoid bone tend to move backward and airway patency is reduced in the supine position.

Moreover, in apnea patients the rationale behind using an MAD is that functional airway patency may be recovered by causing the mandible to protrude. Taking this information into account, as well as the possibility that sleep apnea OHAHS may be under diagnosed in patients treated with oral squint for bruxism or pain caused by TMD, one could hypothesize that the use of single maxillary oral splint may aggravate respiratory disturbance in sleep apneic patients.

In support of this hypothesis, research was performed to determine if a group of ten patients with a history of snoring and a recording night confirming the diagnosis of sleep apnea were studied.

Data collected during the study included total sleep time, sleep efficiency, and the number of awakenings.

Microarousals, apnea/hypopnea index per hour of

sleep, respiratory disturbances indexed per hour of sleep, and percentage of sleeping time with snoring.

Results from this study determined that there were no statistical difference in AHI between baseline and occlusal splint nights. However, four patients experienced an aggravation and apnea diagnosis category in the night they used the splint. The HI was increased by more than 50 percent in five of the ten patients.

These RDI showed a 30 percent increase from baseline to splint nights. The percentage of sleeping time with snoring also increased by 40 percent with the splint.

Based on these results, one may conclude that the use of an occlusal splint is associated with a risk of aggravation of respiratory disturbances.

Additionally, if one considers the potential that bruxism may serve as an early indicator of possible obstruction, then it is easy to understand that the use of occlusal splints may be masking a more serious problem. It has been postulated that bruxism may be a reflexive mechanism to splint the airway open

when an obstruction is present.

One can easily perform a Mueller maneuver and fuel the airway open in direct response to clinching the teeth. The clinching or bruxism could be occurring all night and is frequently seen in children with large tonsils or adenoids, as well as adults with OSA.

Although no direct correlation has been demonstrated linking OSA and bruxism, it is possible that this link exists. In light of these issues discussed, it may be relevant for clinicians to question patients about snoring, bruxism, sleep apnea when recommending an occlusal splint.

In support of these conclusions the outcomes of the 2004 joint meeting of the FDA dental products and ear, nose, and throat stated that oral appliances for the treatment of snoring and OSA must be classified as prescription type devices due to the various risks associated with the use of these devices in an OTC environment.

Some of the risks discussed at the panel meeting included can the lay person accurately self-

diagnose their medical condition. Can the layperson accurately self-diagnose their oral health status? Can the layperson choose the correct oral appliance and fit it accurately such that the device is safe and effective and does not cause adverse events, that is, applying forces on the teeth, tissue and temporal mandibular joint?

These risks, as well as the outcomes from research provide conclusive evidence that mouthguards current classified as product code MQC should be classified as prescription type devices because of the potential risk associated with the fit, use, and self-awareness diagnosis of the potential for OSA of the person using these types of devices.

We agree and support the agency's ruling made in the 2004 dental panel meeting to regulate these devices as prescription controlled such that the dental and medical profession will have oversight professional intervention on the use of the device such that the potential for diagnosis of sleep apnea is not delayed or missed; fit the device to assure successful use to minimize the potential for

1	significant adverse impact on the airway function and
2	jaws if not properly fitted; and finally, to insure
3	safety and efficacy for patient using an occlusal
4	splint.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you, Mr.
7	Diacopoulos.
8	The chair is going to take the prerogative
9	of recommending that we have the discussion and
10	questions on this particular presentation under our
11	open comment on OTC and NightGuards after the FDA
12	presentation. Is there any objection to that?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: If not, then we will
15	discuss this at a later point. Good.
16	MR. DIACOPOULOS: Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you, Mr.
18	Diacopoulos.
19	I'd like to next call on the FDA
20	presentation on the general issues of OTC use of
21	dental mouthguards.
22	Dr. Kevin Mulry.

DR. MULRY: Thank you.

I'd like to now present on the issue of whether dental mouthguards should be available as over-the-counter devices.

This slide outlines the topics I intend to discuss during my presentation. The topics include the current regulatory status of dental mouthguards, prescription versus over-the-counter issues, over-the-counter devices, prescription devices, types of dental mouthguard designs, and example of a dental mouthguard design; why had dental mouthguards been cleared as prescription only devices; and questions for panel consideration.

unclassified Dental mouthguards are devices. Sponsors need to submit 510(k) or premarket notification for marketing clearance. To date, all dental mouthguards have been cleared as prescription devices. However, some sponsors have requested that these devices be made available over the counter.

That is the reason we are asking for your input today on this issue. We are asking you to

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

discuss general issues surrounding the prescription use versus over-the-counter use of dental mouthquards. The discussion will include the role of the dentist, the diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up to fabrication and delivery of the mouthquard; the ability of the consumer to self-diagnose, select, and fit mouthquard; the different designs of mouthguard, including full versus partial coverage devices, the types of clinical data that would be needed to support an over-the-counter intended use, and the components of adequate device labeling.

For the-the-counter use, the issue is whether adequate directions for use can be written for the layperson. Over-the-counter devices are available for purchase directly by any layperson or consumer, and for mouthguards involves the self-diagnosis of one's oral health status, self-selection of the appropriate device, and the correct fitting of the device.

Over the counter devices are required to have adequate directions for use for a layperson, as described in the labeling section in 21 Code of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Federal Regulations 801, Subpart C. If adequate directions for use cannot be written for a layperson, it would be considered a prescription device.

A prescription device -- and this is the definition from a regulation -- is a device which, because of an potentiality for harmful effect or the method of its use or the collateral methods necessary to its use is not safe, except under the supervision of a practitioner licensed by law to direct the use of such a device and hence, for which adequate directions for use cannot be prepared, again, meaning a layperson.

Our labeling regulations are found in chapter 801 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

To date, FDA has cleared the following type of dental mouthguards: full dental arch coverage devices, which include those devices fabricated by a dental laboratory, and vacuum formed or thermoformed devices fitted in the dental office.

Also, partial dental arch coverage devices that are fabricated by a dental laboratory or they are prefabricated, but all of these devices have been

cleared as prescription only devices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

This is an example of a soft, full arched dental mouthguard which may have been vacuum formed, thermoformed, or fabricated by a dental laboratory.

dental mouthquards So why have been cleared as prescription only devices? Intraoral devices present unique risks to dental health. are varied in design and application, and the correct selection in fitting is important in preventing injury.

Considerations for proper use include an assessment οf the periodontal disease, decayed, missing and filled teeth, the temporomandibular joint origins of orificial pain, status, the and parafunctions in sorting out the various symptoms that a patient may present. These symptoms may present with or without pain, muscle or TMJ involvement, where on the teeth, or clinching, grinding or bruxism.

What are the specific issues we would like you, the panel, to consider today? The key consideration in a determination of over-the-counter use is whether adequate directions for use can be

written for the layperson.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The following are the questions for panel consideration. Question 1: dental mouthquards are presently cleared for the following indications for for protection against tooth grinding, bruxism and jaw clinching that may be associated temporomandibular disorders syndrome orificial or pain; also to provide short-term pain relief from muscle spasm associated with occlusal interference or with increased muscular activity.

Are any of these indications appropriate for over-the-counter use?

Question 2: can adequate labeling be written such that a layperson may diagnose their oral health status, determine their need for a dental mouthguard, and determine their need for a particular design of mouthguard, for example, soft, hard, full coverage, partial coverage, et cetera.

Question 3: is over-the-counter use appropriate for all designs of dental mouthguards? In other words, are there particular designs which would not be appropriate for over-the-counter use?

1	Question 4: of the dental mouthguard
2	design supported for over-the-counter use, please
3	recommend the following regarding the device's
4	labeling. What information should be provided to help
5	the lay user determine the need for their device,
6	ascertain the proper fitting of the device, select an
7	appropriate design, and be aware of the
8	counterindications?
9	Question 5: do you believe a clinical
10	study, that is, an actual home use study is needed to
11	support an over-the-counter indication for dental
12	mouthguards? If not, please discuss your reasons. If
13	so, please discuss the following aspects of the study
14	needed: the study design, the endpoints, and adverse
15	events that would be considered significant.
16	Thank you. I'd be happy to answer any
17	questions.
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. With respect
19	to the panel, let's take the questions one at a time
20	for open discussion. Can you reverse the slides, Dr.
21	Mulry, and begin with number one?
22	Okay. At this time I'd like to ask if

1	there are any open comments on the OTC and dental
2	mouthguards. And there have been two requested
3	presenters, and I will call on well, can you
4	identify yourself, please?
5	DR. MEHTA: Yes, I will. My name is Dr.
6	Noshir Mehta, and if I can just get my slides up here,
7	I can make my presentation.
8	Thank you, Mr. Chairman, distinguished
9	panel. Thank you for allowing me to present before
10	you.
11	Let me first introduce myself. My name is
12	Noshir Mehta. I'm a periodontist. I'm Professor and
13	Chairman, however, of the Department of General
14	Dentistry through no fault of mine, and I'm Director
15	of the Craniofacial Pain Center at Tufts University
16	School of Dental Medicine.
17	I graduated from the Perio Department with
18	Irving Glickman in 1971, and I got my Master's in '73,
19	and my research from that time on has been in
20	occlusion and bruxism.
21	In 1976, I started a small craniofacial
22	pain group at Tufts, and we have grown into a fairly

large and substantial group since that time. We see an average of about 2,000 patients a year, new patients a year, and have been doing so. We have a graduate program, a postgraduate program, a Master's in craniofacial pain, and we also run the clinics in the predoctoral program at Tufts where students are run through our program so that they understand about orificial pain and both from a peripheral issue and from a central nerve issue.

Enough about that for me. Let me just state from the start that I am a consultant here for Dental Concepts. They have paid me over time when they have consulted me, and one of the first times that I met with them was when they consulted me to look at their mouthquard and also to come up with some quidelines for the labeling, at which point I told them that they didn't have to ask me as much because all they had to do was to go to the American Academy of Periodontology Guidelines for periodontal issues, the orificial pain guidelines for orificial and of course, the ADA for some issues.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

However, given that they still wanted me,

I have been helping them in those guidelines since.

I'm not going to bore you with this. respect your knowledge already. I know who you are. I know some of you, but for my purposes, I need to give you a reason for why I am here. I'm not just here as a consultant. I am here as a practicing dentist, as an educator. Wе have predoctoral programs. We have postdoctoral programs that my job is to educate these people.

So essentially, from past research -- this is a composite of past research -- we understand that tooth contacts approximately happen about 20 to 25 minutes in a three meal, 24 hour period. If we look at forces during mastication, around the first molar, which is where everybody has been evaluating that because first molars approximately give us 70 percent of chewing efficiency, is about 12 to 25 pounds of force between the teeth.

If we want to be dentists here, we talk about centric occlusion, centric relation, but what's interesting is that centric occlusion and centric

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

relation are about fleeting contacts, and the most contacts during chewing are fleeting contacts, and most of these forces that occur on these teeth from a periodontal standpoint are vertically oriented forces with some horizontal components, and there's minimal lateral motion during chewing.

In fact, when teeth are contacting, there is no lateral motion. The lateral motion happens as the jaw opens and you have the teardrop of motion from Masserman and Gibbs that was described in the early '70s.

This was one of my first projects with Irving Glickman. This was the sleep study that we used to do at the time when we didn't have sleep studies. This is a little box, and we had within the confines of what you see here, we had a switch, an oscillator, and a radio transmitter, and as contact happened from the opposing tooth, there was an antenna that the patient had under their pillow. This was a home use program that we evaluated bruxism at the time, and we found that people did clinch and grind their teeth, but more often at night they tend to

grind more than they clinch, and more often the grinding is a lateral motion and which is one of the reasons why most of us tend to see the wear patterns on the canines, on the edge of the canines, which means that people would have to be at that particular position.

So eccentric positions are important in parafunctional activity.

Tooth contacts as much as 40 minutes per hour, sleep. These are some studies done by Zimmerman and his group and other composites, forces approximately 150 kilograms to 300 pounds. Single contacts may be as long as nine seconds, horizontal and vertical forces and side-to-side rocking action, and this becomes important as we will see later.

I've heard a lot about the etiologic theories and the issues that are here in terms of whether or not it's an occlusal issue. I think we've all laid that to rest for the most part at this point. The issues were originally then psychological. I think we're starting to find that psychological issues are not really as important as they were, and some of

those patients that we've been sending for constant biofeedback don't have to necessarily be there.

We talk about systemic and sleep, and I'd like to just mention those two a little bit more in detail because of the conversation that has been going around. These are my own ideas and my own thoughts, but with the past history of seeing a lot of these patients.

Bruxism has been in evidence since the beginning of time. We all know that. If we look at the literature, everybody starts with "bruxomania" as the terminology, and common things happen commonly, and I think that's the most important thing that we need to remember when we talk about these issues.

Most people don't die in their sleep because of bruxism. Most people don't die in their sleep because of neurologic problems. These people are very few and very far between. Yes, it's important for us to understand that, but even now, even now, we're just starting into sleep disorders.

We at the center also work with the sleep disorder team, and I know that panel members here are

well versed in sleep disorders.

So my point is: let's not lose sight of what is the big issue. The big issue is that people grind their teeth. Grinding of the teeth doesn't necessarily mean they have sleep disorders. Grinding of the teeth doesn't necessarily mean they have temporomandibular joint disorders.

In fact, the American Academy of Orificial Pain in their guidelines have stated, and if I may, Mr. Chairman, I'm going to just read that guideline to you, that statement that they came up with because I don't know if you have that in front of you. This is the orificial pain guidelines for assessment, diagnosis, and management, the American Academy of Orificial Pain in 1996. The editor was Dr. Oakison (phonetic) that we all know.

"Parafunction habits such as teeth clinching, tooth grinding, lip biting and abnormal posturing of the jaw are common and do not usually result in TMD symptoms. The most commonly believed indication of past nocturnal severity is dental attrition."

NEAL R. GROSS

That's what we see. That's what I see in my patients. That's what we see as dentists.

the question about systemic disorders, see people who have neurologic yes, do now disorders who can also grind, but the bruxism in those situations is extremely severe. It's a different variety, and these people have already been diagnosed by many times as having a neurologic disorder because usually these people have other disorders that have been picked up in early childhood as genetic factors, as later when they see their primary care physicians. Usually these patients come to me. They're sent by their primary care physician because they know they have a problem, and they're sent to us because they think we need a soft guard between their mouth.

And so we do use soft guards. We use a lot of soft guards in our patients. So soft guards by themselves are not an issue.

The third part of this is the sleep issue that the company from Respironics has just mentioned, and I think it's a great company. In fact, I have relationships with some of the people who use

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Respironics, but here's my issue with that also.

We have been told, we have been told that

-- and I should get this for a second -- we have been

told that there is a study out there by Gene Levigne

from Toronto, and I know of him, and I know that he is

an excellent researcher, but I think it would be

unwise -- I think it would be unwise to just look at

that one study as a decision making process.

This is the beginning. This is the beginning of what we know about sleep disorders. We are at a very infant stage for us to make judgments on sleep disorders and their relationship to what we do.

This particular study that was reported, was a study that came out from their group in Toronto in July and August, and it came in the <u>International Journal of Prosthodontics</u>, and I think it has been accurately reported. So I don't have an issue with the actual reporting of it.

What I do have an issue is that what has not been reported is a study that the same group came up with in May of 2004 that is just one month, actually two months before that, and where they looked

at the quantitative, polygraphic control study on efficacy and safety of oral splint devices in tooth grinding subjects, and this was published in the <u>Journal of Dental Research</u> in May of 2004.

I can leave you with the actual reference after this talk.

The study that has been reported were on ten subjects. The study that was reported, this one that I'm telling you is nine subjects. So far we've got 19 subjects reported, hardly a large population with which to make decisions.

But in this particular study nine subjects were looked at with sleep bruxism to compare the safety and efficacy of an occlusal splint with a palatal control device. So there were two devices.

The baseline was sleep laboratory data on the second night, followed up one night later, followed up for one night on week three and one night on week four. So there were three sleep studies done.

One was a baseline, one was one week alter on week three and one week alter on week four, three nights.

What they found was there was a

NEAL R. GROSS

statistically significant reduction in SB, which is sleep bruxism, bursts per hour by 41 percent and 40 percent observed with both appliances, the appliance that was the palatal control device and the occlusal splint.

Both showed 50 percent fewer episodes of grinding noise. No changes in respiratory variables were observed between the two devices, and both reduced muscle activity associated with sleep bruxism.

So I take you to these two studies done at the same laboratory reported one and a half months from each other. You can decide which one do you prefer to use, but the fact of the matter is that the jury is not in as to what appliances can and can't do yet with bruxism, with sleep bruxism.

As far as the self-reporting is concerned, having been in this business of bruxism for a long time, the only thing I can report to you is that when I have a patient who tells me that grinding their teeth is what I consider active and present bruxism, if I look at one teeth, that may or may not be active. It may or may not be present. If I look at any other

criteria, that does not necessarily mean that they're 1 2 grinding their teeth. 3 People have temporomandibular how disorders don't always grind their teeth. 4 We've seen 5 It's written again in the Annals of the that. 6 American Academy of Orificial Pain. People who grind 7 their teeth may have pain, but they may not have pain. So, again, pain is not a factor that you 8 9 can accurately depict bruxism. The only thing that I 10 know as a dentist, the only way I can tell whether or 11 not somebody really needs a guard from my standpoint is if the patient tells me, "I'm grinding my teeth 12 13 My wife wakes me up in the morning and every night. 14 tells me I've been grinding my teeth. She kicks me out of bed because she doesn't want me in bed." 15 16 Those are the types of things that I take 17 a look at and say, okay, there is active bruxism in 18

this patient. So self-reporting, unfortunately, this present moment is the primary source by which the dentist can look at bruxism.

they look at bruxism differently? Yes. We have sleep studies, but how many patients who

19

20

21

come into your practices are you going to send for sleep studies to tell them that they grind their teeth or they don't grind their teeth.

So right now as we stand, once we come up -- and they are coming up with these things -- once we come up with more home recording units for sleep, then maybe we can use those to look at bruxism a little bit more effectively. But presently as it stands there are not that many sleep labs out there. They don't have that many beds. You can't send everybody who grinds their teeth to a sleep lab to make sure that they grind their teeth.

So what can we do? Again, as a dentist, I provide a mouthguard. I provide a mouthguard, and it's one at night, and what am I trying to do? I'm trying to just keep the teeth away from each other.

Now, the question then comes in, well, when you provide a NightGuard, whether it's an upper or a lower and a single guard, and I know that it has been touched on here, the jaw drops back. It doesn't. I can tell you that the jaw doesn't necessarily drop back unless the patient happens to be lying flat on

their back all night long.

Now, why do we think that people lie flat on their back all night long? I can guarantee you I've done this with other groups. I can guarantee you that most of us don't sleep on our backs all night long. Most of us turn to one side or the other.

And so the question is when remarks are made without evidence that this happens, it bothers me because as a dentist I'm supposed to be providing treatment for patients, and now I have to tell them why, you know, you can't have mouthguards because mouthguards may cause your jaw to drop back and you may die in your sleep.

Well, I haven't seen any evidence of that.

I've seen a lot of patients over many years, a lot of

my colleagues, and interestingly enough, it's where

you go.

When I go to the temporomandibular disorders programs, everybody complains that sleep devices are causing TMJ problems because you're bringing the jaw forward and you're causing damage and the teeth are not going to fit after that.

NEAL R. GROSS

Do I believe that? Of course, not, but the fact of the matter is you hear what you hear when you go to the people who are saying what they're saying only because that's what is the program for that day.

So, please, I think you need to be a little bit more evidence based, and I am hopefully, knowing all of you who are in research and who are on this panel, that you are evidence based. So I'm going to try to bring and keep this to an evidence based system rather than, you know, using our hearts and not our heads.

So what are the types of mouthguards? And Dr. Mulry has already mentioned many different kinds, but what am I here for today? I'm not here to sell you hard guards. I'm not here to sell you what the dentist does. I'm not here to sell you what the laboratory does. I respect all of that. I know that most dentists are very attuned to putting in guards.

But I am here to tell you that there are some guards out on the marketplace that people who cannot afford you and me will need, and I think that

those need to be out there, and I'm not saying this I'm a consultant here today for Dental I'm just telling you this because that's Concepts. the fact of the reality. I can't tell you how many E-mails I get "Dr. Such-and-such, you know, I live in that say, Idaho and I went to the dentist and I can't afford the appliance and they've told me that I need something. What should I do?" I tell them to go to the pharmacy and pick up a guard. It's better than not. It's better than not. So, again, I'll come back now and I'll come back to what we were talking about this morning, and the boil and bite technology. Why do I like this? Well, I'll tell you why I like this. It's something that you are giving these patients. You're giving them some ability to control their own life. They're not stupid. Consumers are very,

They go on the Internet. They know what's

They're not stupid. Let's not consider

very good.

going on.

them that way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Soft plastic molds to the shape of teeth and then it hardens. Now, there was a good question from the panel that said is it a hard or is it a hard and soft. Well, in this particular instance, the boil and bite technology we're talking about has a soft inner site and a slightly harder outer site, and what it does for us is it allows the person -- because, you know, when you pick out a boil and bite guard from a boiling pan, you don't want to touch it, and so the lower part of that guard is less hard. It doesn't fold on itself, which happens when people try to do that, and it allows a nice, simple fit.

And it is a simple fit. It is easy for consumers to use, and currently it's widely available.

Again, I did a Medline search. I knew I was coming before you. I knew you were an evidence based panel. I said I need to find out how much damage is out there.

I did a Medline search, and it was interesting. This was my situation with my graduate students. I had them search for it. I had the librarians at Tufts search for it, and I searched for

it myself. I couldn't find anything. I couldn't find anything.

And, in fact, I couldn't believe that I couldn't find anything because to me that's almost impossible. In dentistry, any time you want some adverse effects, you'll find it somewhere, in some little area hidden away. But I couldn't find it.

What did I find, however? Sports injuries, but these sports injuries were using soft guards in sports and getting injured by sports, not by the soft guard. The soft guard wasn't protecting them, but this particular guard is not designed for sports. That's a totally different kind of guard, and I want to make sure that we don't confuse this part.

So as I understand it, these are the three basic things that I as a dentist would like to know. Consumers must be able to determine if they need the product. The directions for the use must be understandable, and my now having read up on all of this, apparently it's also an eighth grade level, and consumers must be able to know when to consult a dental profession because I do want to make sure that

if we put something out on the marketplace people don't injure themselves. I don't want to change the past history of no injuries to suddenly having a lot of injuries.

Consumers must be able to determine if they need the product. Now, most bruxers, if you're only giving it for bruxism, will tell you that they grind or their bed partners are going to kick them out of bed and tell them they grind, and they will likely seek a product to prevent teeth from making contact.

If they don't know that they grind, they're not even going to look for a product. So the point is moot. I mean the only way they will go for a product is if they think they grind their teeth and the only way they know that is if somebody tells them or they know for sure because they wake up with tooth grinding.

So if they don't know this, and that's a question of diagnosis, can they diagnose bruxism? Well, if they don't know it, they're not going to go looking for it.

Directions of use must be understandable.

NEAL R. GROSS

I think that millions of units, not just of the units that we're talking about here, but millions of units in athletic mouthguards and stuff use the same technology. So the technology has been out there. It's not that difficult.

Children fit themselves. Parents of children fit the children, and a lot of people as we now have used guards at night and they fitted themselves.

So the question is: what happens if it doesn't fit? Well, what happens if I give a guard to a patient and it doesn't fit? They don't wear it. They don't wear it. Do you know why? Because they can't wear it.

So the question is if it fits, does it fit well enough. Well, those are the questions that if the patient is wearing it, then the fit is reasonable for that particular patient. So I'm not sure that the fit aspect of it is a big factor, but anyway, I will go along with making sure that the fit should be adequate and controlled.

They should know when to consult a dental

NEAL R. GROSS

professional. Now, you've been given a sheet this morning from the Dental Concepts people regarding the labeling, and I would suggest that the labeling is very detailed. It tells the patient when not to use it. It tells the patient when to ask the dentist before they use it, and then it also tells the patient when not to use it and go to the dentist.

So I am a little proud of this label only because I was associated with the development of it, and the development of it I took directly from the academies that I belong to, the American Academy of Periodontology and the American Academy of Orificial pain, and of course, the ADA.

So my feeling was that this would protect people. This would protect people sufficiently, and we may have our arguments and differences, but you have to give me that. You have to give me the fact that if the academies think that this is a reasonable question to ask a patient for self-report mechanisms, then they must have spent many hours, many committees to come up with those questions, and I wasn't about to change that.

1	So I asked the company when they came that
2	those are the questions I wanted in there because
3	those were the questions I felt that had been vetted
4	by the appropriate academies.
5	So
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Can you conclude, Dr.
7	Mehta?
8	DR. MEHTA: Yes, I will immediately.
9	So the question is in terms of can
10	adequate directions for use be written such that lay
11	people may be able to diagnose their oral health.
12	They're not diagnosing oral health here. We're just
13	diagnosing bruxism. We're not diagnosing. We're not
14	asking people to be able to tell us if they have oral
15	health conditions because I don't think they can do
16	that.
17	But I do think that people can tell you
18	when they grind the teeth.
19	On Question 2, if OTC use is supported,
20	are there any designs or types? I do think that full
21	coverage appliances make the most sense. As a

dentist, I sometimes will use a

22

cover

partial

appliance, but I need to be monitoring that. So if somebody is not monitoring I think full coverage appliances make the most sense.

Of the dental mouthguard design supported by OTC use, please recommend -- I'm sorry. You have the label in front of you. I don't have to go into details about that.

And then the last part is: do you believe a clinical study is needed? You know, because I'm a dentist and I teach at Tufts and we live and die by research, of course I would always say clinical research should be done.

However, in this particular case, I think that there's no evidence to show that we need a clinical project. There's no evidence to show that there's actual problems with these guards in the past. Certainly if you want to do a clinical project what I might suggest is looking at the labeling and seeing if the label can be followed and looking at the fit because once it's well fit and once the label is accurately followed, I don't think these guards are unsafe. I think that in my conclusion to you, I would

1	very much like for you to consider that there are
2	patients out there who can't afford dentistry for
3	whatever reasons. They need something to help protect
4	them. It's unfair for them, and I think it
5	prevents this sort of a guard prevents the teeth
6	from touching for bruxism. It is easy and it is safe.
7	Thank you for listening to me.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you, Dr.
9	Mehta.
10	I would like to next call on Respironics,
11	who submitted a statement, and then we can open
12	pointed questions and discussion from the panel.
13	Okay. The next presenter?
14	It's the same one. So you can just
15	okay. So then we can have questions and discussion
16	from the panel to both presentations, and then FDA's
17	presentation also through questions.
18	Ms. Howe.
19	MS. HOWE: Dr. Mehta, I'd like to thank
20	you for being an incredible consumer advocate, and
21	though we are, in fact, an evidence based panel, I
22	think it is important to remember what you said about

common things happening commonly, and to address this 2 with common sense. Thank you very much.

DR. MEHTA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Other questions? Zuniga.

DR. ZUNIGA: Dr. Mehta, would you Yes. agree then that one of the statements we're asked to determine here is under cleared for the following indications that you would agree that there's OTC indication that these mouthguards could be adequately used for short term pain relief?

That's DR. MEHTA: not what this particular guard is. There are guards out there, for instance, the guard that's already out there from this company is usually used for soft, short pain relief. A patient goes in to see the doctor, and I use them actually a lot because what happens is patients come in on an emergency, as I'm sure they come into your You know, you don't want to take an offices, too. impression because the jaw is hurting. So we just take this boil and bite, put it in, soak it, and have

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	the patient bite on it, and after a few days or maybe
2	even a week come back and it will give us time to go
3	on and see what else is needed.
4	I think most people have now decided that
5	short-term use with a soft guard is actually very
6	good. In an article that was written by Steve Messing
7	in the sales book on temporomandibular disorder that
8	Kaplan in <u>Cell</u> , they suggest that the use of a soft
9	guard as a predictor of the use of a hard guard, that
10	when those soft guards were used before the hard
11	guards actually worked even better, to 93 percent
12	success.
13	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you.
14	Other questions, comments? Any questions
15	on the FDA presentation for Dr. Mulry?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: If not, thank you.
18	DR. MEHTA: Thank you, sir.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: I'll ask Dr. Mulry to
20	come up and put the questions on the screen once
21	again.
22	Okay. I'd like to begin with Question No.

1	1. Dental mouthguards are presently cleared for the
2	following indications for use: for protection against
3	tooth grinding, bruxism and jaw clinching that may be
4	associated with TMD syndrome, orificial pain; to
5	provide short-term pain relief from muscle spasm
6	associated with occlusal interference or with
7	increased muscular activity.
8	The question FDA is asking the panel: are
9	any of these indications appropriate for OTC use?
10	Dr. Bakland?
11	DR. BAKLAND: You know, with the labeling,
12	would it be reasonable for the labeling to use a
13	measure such as pain as being the way to decide for
14	the patient to buy this mouthguard or not? In other
15	words, if the labeling clearly stated that if you have
16	pain you need to see a dentist, that these are not
17	appropriate for orificial pain?
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Is there a
19	comment on that, follow-up?
20	Other questions? Dr. Demko.
21	DR. DEMKO: I just want in mentioning what
22	we're looking at, it says protection against tooth

1	grinding. As far as I can tell, it's really
2	protection against the damage from tooth grinding,
3	that you're still going to brux; that what we're
4	trying to prevent is damage to the teeth, and perhaps
5	the lack of noise.
6	When you're looking at something where
7	it's mechanical versus I agree with Dr. Bakland that
8	if there's anything with pain involved, and these
9	comments that have been already given out by the
10	company very clearly say that if there's any pain or
11	clicking or jaw noises don't use this or ask your
12	dentist first.
13	Probably an indication for appropriate OTC
14	use. My biggest concern is I don't want the FDA to
15	lose control over these, that as time goes on we find
16	more things that may be related to bruxism as medical
17	disorders; that their control should still be under a
18	510(k).
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Any other
20	comments? Dr. Zero.
21	DR. ZERO: Yes, I need a point of

clarification between the currently available athletic

1	mouthguards and the physical structure and this other
2	application, which would be to prevent bruxism or to
3	prevent the damage of bruxism. Is the material
4	significantly different?
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Mehta, can
6	you respond?
7	DR. MEHTA: Yes, I can. It is
8	significantly different in the sense that a mouth
9	protector such as a sports car has a flange, a hard
10	flange that goes across the upper front teeth and
11	along the upper side teeth. This does not. This is
12	not designed for that, and it's one of the reasons why
13	in the labeling you see and I was quite adamant
14	that it be put in there as not being used as a mouth
15	protector.
16	DR. ZERO: In your experience, do patients
17	who come to see you are some of them using athletic
18	protectors to prevent bruxism?
19	DR. MEHTA: There are some athletic
20	protectors out there that are sold as athletic
21	protectors without that coverage, and so I don't know.
22	They don't actually come to see me because if they

come to see me, they come really for the TMJ stuff. We don't see kids, and we don't do athletic guards yet.

DR. ZERO: And the reason I'm raising this is because I'm trying to get at this issue. Is it better to leave something unregulated because the practice is that people will tend to do these things anyway versus classify it and have something as a Class I device that they can use more appropriately, and then there can be some oversight by the FDA because it's used for this application.

Do you understand the --

DR. MEHTA: Yes, I do, and I appreciate your sentiments. The issue is right now there are many guards out there that people are using as athletic mouthguards. If you go to Sports Authority or places like that, you'll see 15, 20 from different companies.

DR. ZERO: No, I'm not going that way. I'm going more that people are using those types of products for this application that we're discussing today.

DR. MEHTA: Most athletic guards have a
hard shell. That's the difference between the regular
mouthguard and an athletic guard. Now, I don't now
the answer that you're asking me, which is would
somebody use it. I suppose anybody can do whatever
they wish to do, but the fact is that if they're going
for an athletic guard, they're going to go to a sports
store or they're going to go to so they'll end up
at something that whether it's actually the best for
them or not, they'll end up with something that has a
hard shell in front.

DR. ZERO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Other questions?
Yes, Dr. O'Brien.

DR. O'BRIEN: I think the main issue here -- it's been discussed in the presentation -- is the criticalness of the fit. Fit isn't a very simple item with these devices, as with fitting shoes or other articles of clothing. There's a whole science of articulation and occlusion, which is an advanced subject in dentistry, and it involves not only the fit of the mouthguard over the teeth, but how the

mouthguard articulates in occlusion with the other arch of the mouth.

And it is a difficult job to have a good, successful mouthquard under a dentist's care. It is a challenge, and many dentists use several different articulators, and often I've observed that it takes hours for the dentist to finally get the articulation of the mouthguard working patient in the chair, and it is a great stretch of the imagination without clinical evidence that you obtain and use an over-the-counter device that the achieving patient use following directions, can appropriate articulations so that it's comfortable and correct for the patient.

However, it's also true, and I agree with the speaker, there isn't much evidence of complaints about these mouthguards. However, if you talk to the people that run clinics for athletic mouthguards, they'll tell you if they're not correct, the athletes just don't use them.

So that you can have no complaints and everyone seems to be happy, but if you don't have use

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of the device, it is failing in its efficacy in terms of solving the problem, which is to protect the teeth from damage during athletics.

So that a study needs to be done in terms of how well these fit in articulation and also what the compliance is by interviewing enough athletes in terms of using the over-the-counter mouthguards, and I don't see any clinical evidence in that regard. If there is, I'd like to hear about it.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Mehta, did you want to respond?

DR. MEHTA: I would for two reasons. One is -- and I absolutely agree with Dr. O'Brien -- one of the issues is that we are talking about hard quards. When dentists try to fit hard guards, and this is an issue that we teach our students also, they send them to the laboratory. The laboratory manufactures them based on models that have been articulated.

The patient comes in. The model and the guard is brought in. These guards are usually too thick, which is why we never send any of ours out to a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

laboratory, other than orthodontic type ones or ones that we think they're separate types.

We use certain articulators. Articulators are not the same as the jaw, and so function in the mouth, you have to when it's a hard guard, you have to adjust it regardless of what else you do. So I agree with you that there is adjustment, which is the real reason that most general dentists, a lot of general dentists in my neighborhood at least in Boston, Massachusetts, use soft guards, because they don't want to sit there adjusting them, and they actually send the soft guard to the lab. They put it in the patient's mouth and say, "See you later. Call me. You know, call me if there's a problem."

So the reason they go to the soft guards is because it prevents them from having to do just what you're talking about, and that's one of the reasons why a hard guard is not something that you can put out directly to a consumer because it does require that.

And we're not talking about sports guards here. We're just talking about the guards for

NEAL R. GROSS

bruxism.

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Other

3 comments?

4 MS. HOWE: He clarified my point. Thank 5 you.

6 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you.

Other questions or comments regarding this

8 question?

DR. O'BRIEN: I have another comment.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.

DR. O'BRIEN: Based on the previous issue in which the panel was asked whether or not this device if not used would cause permanent damage, and I voted use because if you have seen mouths of patients that have had severe bruxism, there's a great deal of loss of enamel and especially with the lower arch, the lower front teeth. We have very few restorative procedures for restoring the appearance and function of the enamel being lost due to bruxism from lower front teeth. So it does do permanent damage on a wide sale, unless it's caught in time, hopefully by the practicing dentist of the patient.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you.
2	Dr. Mehta, would you like to respond?
3	DR. MEHTA: If you look at the labeling
4	that you have in front of you, it's again in there.
5	It says stop use and ask a dentist if your original
6	symptoms persist even after several weeks of use. The
7	product usually falls out of your mouth. It causes
8	you to gag or otherwise feel uncomfortable if you
9	experience shifting or loosening of your teeth or any
10	of those things.
11	So we've tried to accommodate these
12	thoughts into I thought as best a label as we could
13	come up with. So hopefully anybody who is
14	understanding of the label would be able to say, "I'd
15	better go see the dentist."
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. runner.
17	DR. RUNNER: I just want to remind the
18	panel that this isn't about just one product. So your
19	recommendation should apply to all products that would
20	potentially be over-the-counter.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you.
22	Okay. Dr. Bakland.

1	DR. BAKLAND: I don't know if as a
2	consultant whether I can make a recommendation or not,
3	but if I can do it as a consultant.
4	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Certainly.
5	DR. BAKLAND: I would recommend that for
6	this particular issue, that the indications would not
7	be appropriate as a whole for over-the-counter use.
8	The only indication here that would seem reasonable
9	based on what has been presented would be clearly
LO	bruxism.
L1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Then why don't
L2	we pose the first question then and go around the
L3	panel and get your individual suggestions.
L4	Question No. 1, once again, dental
L5	mouthguards are presently cleared for the several
L6	indications. They are listed on the screen. Are any
L7	of these indications appropriate for OTC use?
L8	I'll begin first with Dr. Cochran.
L9	DR. COCHRAN: I think we can can bruxism
20	and tooth grinding.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
22	DR. COCHRAN: I'm not sure what the

1	definition of tooth grinding is different from
2	bruxism. That's my problem there.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. O'Brien.
4	DR. O'BRIEN: The question specifically
5	relates to over-the-counter?
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Are any of these
7	indications appropriate for OTC use?
8	DR. O'BRIEN: No, I don't think so because
9	of the problem in obtaining the correct fit by an
10	amateur patient who doesn't know how to evaluate that.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Zero.
12	DR. ZERO: Given the history of no
13	documented problems, I think I am comfortable with the
14	idea of having the first indication protection against
15	tooth grinding and bruxism for OTC.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
17	DR. ZUNIGA: I would vote, yes, that there
18	are indications for OTC use for bruxism only. I do
19	have concerns about tooth grinding as it's difficult
20	to determine by the patient or self-diagnosis or the
21	help of their partner. I don't think occlusion or fit

is a real serious problem with this type of device.

1	There are evidence based studies that I believe even
2	if you remove the occlusal surfaces that you will have
3	positive responses. So it's less of a problem for the
4	short-term uses.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay, and the
6	industry representatives. Ms. Howe.
7	MS. HOWE: I would recommend both tooth
8	grinding and bruxism, maybe exactly the opposite of
9	what you were saying, Dr. Zuniga. I think the
10	consumer needs to have the tooth grinding as more of a
11	vocabulary term as opposed to Bruxism.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Mr. Schechter.
13	MR. SCHECHTER: I would agree that I think
14	it's appropriate for Bruxism and tooth grinding.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
16	DR. BAKLAND: Yes, bruxism I'm in favor
17	of, and in terms of the wording "tooth grinding," I
18	think in one of the presentations earlier, the term
19	"gritting" was used, and you know, not having been
20	brought up with the Queen's English I'm not sure if I
21	know the differences between gritting and grinding,

but just intuitively I think of gritting as something

that a layperson would understand better than tooth
grinding.
So perhaps we should consider using the
term "gritting" since that already has been introduced
here.
CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Demko.
DR. DEMKO: Just going back to gritting,
clinching and bruxism are actually different as far as
I've read the literature, and clinching puts much more
severe sustained forces on the teeth, and that would
be gritting; that I would not be as happy about
putting appliance out there for clinching as an OTC
situation.
However, I think that for bruxism
patients, complaints of acute bruxism, short-term use,
OTC possibly, but for anything else that's dealing
with pain or TMJ, absolutely not.
CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay, and we're not
taking a vote on this. We're just making the
recommendations.
Dr. Mulry.
DR. MULRY: Yes. I was just wondering

1	whether we could have a clarification. Are you
2	talking about bruxism with pain or without pain? Can
3	you just make that distinction for us?
4	I think that would be helpful because
5	we've had a discussion here whether pain is important
6	in looking at whether an over-the-counter indication
7	is appropriate.
8	DR. DEMKO: Because basically I would
9	believe if there's any pain involved then it shouldn't
10	be OTC. It should be people who are just complaining
11	of the noise, but not if there's pain because now
12	you're getting into TMJ and muscle problems, and that
13	is not what I would consider an OTC use of any
14	appliance.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Does FDA
16	require any further information on Question No. 1?
17	MS. RUNNER: Just if you might poll the
18	panel on that question that would be helpful.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. So, Dr.
20	Runner, in particular about the pain associated?
21	DR. RUNNER: Correct.
22	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Let's poll the

1	panel just with respect to that question on if pain is
2	associated, then it should not be OTC.
3	Dr. Cochran?
4	DR. COCHRAN: Yes, I agree with Dr. Demko
5	exactly. If pain is involved it's not OTC.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien?
7	DR. O'BRIEN: I agree.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
9	DR. ZERO: I agree.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
11	DR. ZUNIGA: I agree.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
13	MS. HOWE: I believe it could still be OTC
14	if it's in the recommendations to check with your
15	professional if, and then put in if there's pain
16	involvement.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Mr. Schechter.
18	MR. SCHECHTER: I agree.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Bakland.
20	DR. BAKLAND: Bruxism without pain.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
22	DR. DEMKO: Bruxism without pain.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. To summarize
2	that particular point then, it appears that if pain is
3	associated, then it should not be OTC.
4	And in addition, overall bruxism, quote,
5	tooth grinding, gritting, clinching if I'm not
6	mistaken, did I cover all the bases? That would be
7	indications for OTC use.
8	DR. COCHRAN: I don't believe clinching
9	was involved in that. Only bruxing and patient's
10	tooth grinding maybe.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. To further
12	clarify, bruxism and tooth grinding.
13	Okay. Does FDA have any further
14	clarifications?
15	Okay. Do you want to put up Question No.
16	2 please? And while Dr. Mulry is doing that, I'll
17	read the question. Can adequate labeling be written
18	such that a layperson may question any of the items
19	listed on the screen?
20	And I will poll the panel and get a
21	viewpoint beginning with Dr. Cochran.
22	DR. COCHRAN: I don't believe that the

patients can diagnose their oral health status. 1 2 think they can determine their need for a mouthguard 3 if they're making noise with their mouth at night, and 4 I do not believe that they can determine what design 5 is best for them. CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: 6 Okay. 7 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. O'Brien. 8 DR. O'BRIEN: I also don't agree, and very often bruxism is picked up unintentionally by a 9 10 dentist during a routine exam where they noticed that 11 the teeth are being worn away. 12 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero. 13 DR. ZERO: I also agree that a layperson 14 cannot make a diagnosis, but I do agree that they can determine the need for a NightGuard. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: The design? 17 DR. ZERO: In terms of the design, I think 18 that's sort of the next question in the way of, you 19 know, how far to go with the OTC approval and what 20 types of designs will be included. So I don't think 21 patients can choose the right kind. I think we have

to be maybe prescriptive at our level of approval.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Zuniga.
2	DR. ZUNIGA: I agree that patients cannot
3	generally diagnose their own health status, but I
4	agree that they would be able to identify bruxism, and
5	I disagree that they would be able to determine the
6	type of full coverage versus partial coverage.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
8	Representatives from industry, Ms. Howe.
9	MS. HOWE: Perhaps the terminology of
10	"oral health status" is the stumbling block. I think
11	consumers can certainly identify their need to have
12	some protection against grinding, and certainly there
13	need to be products available to people considering
14	the numbers of people who have grinding problems as
15	compared to the major problems which would indicate a
16	need to see a professional, cracked teeth, pain, those
17	kinds of things.
18	I think they could determine their need
19	for a mouthguard by trying the mouthguard to see if
20	that would relieve the problem.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you.
22	Mr. Schechter.

1	MR. SCHECHTER: I certainly think the
2	public can diagnose bruxism if they're conscious of
3	it. If they're not conscious of it, they're not going
4	to go seek one of these products anyway. So I don't
5	think that's an issue for the OTC indications that
6	we've approved.
7	Similarly, I think they can determine
8	their own need for a mouthguard, but I agree that they
9	probably can't determine which design would be best
10	for them.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Bakland.
12	DR. BAKLAND: I don't believe they
13	probably can diagnose oral health status, but, you
14	know, they can certainly identify or recognize that
15	they have problems. So if it were reworded, that
16	would help.
17	On determining the need for dental
18	mouthguards, I believe they can, but perhaps that
19	should also be modified to say the need for dental
20	mouthguards for bruxism because there are other needs
21	as well that they would not be able to identify.

And finally, on determining the need for a

particular design, that would be unreasonable 1 2 expect a layperson to determine. 3 CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you 4 Dr. Demko. 5 DR. Diagnosing of oral health DEMKO: status is by definition something only a professional 6 7 can do. Determining the need for a dental guard 8 against the damage from bruxism, in an acute case, 9 yes. 10 I think where Dr. O'Brien goes is when we 11 look at the teeth as Dr. Mehta said. What we see is 12 historical. We don't know if the tooth damage that's 13 there was done 20 years ago or whether it was done in 14 So normally patients who come in and the last week. 15 request guards are going to be the people that are 16 aware that they have an acute problem now. 17 When we as dentists put guards in patients 18 who history of bruxism, it's sometimes 19 unnecessary that they be there because the patient is 20 no longer bruxing. 21 My concern is, number one, most dentists

don't know what guard to use. they use whatever they

were taught in school. They use whatever the latest sales rep. has brought in and said, "I use this one."

And so they use what's out there and whatever has been their success rate.

So it is up to us as a panel to come up with something that our best background and success would tell us we should use, that in the future bruxism is a medical disorder. Ιt is now diagnosed as a sleep disorder; that we have to look at the damage we see on the teeth is а symptom insomnia is of a sleep disorder. It doesn't mean it's the disease itself. The cause of that tooth movement as research goes on is going to change with the influx of research in this area.

So that we have to take into account that what we see on the teeth is simply a symptom of a systemic possibly or central nervous system disease, sympathetic responses to sleep disorders, and that as we learn more about the overall disease and not just look at the symptom, we have to understand that this does need to be controlled by the FDA.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Dr. Suzuki -- yes, Dr. Cochran.

DR. COCHRAN: I would like to make a point here, and that is that when we talk about the second bullet, which is determining the need for a mouthguard, one of the things we haven't talked about here and I feel compelled to as a periodontist disease is that there's a relationship between periodontal disease, most of us feel, and the occlusion in some ways or another.

What that relationship is exactly, we don't always know what that is. A lot of patients certainly don't realize that they have periodontal disease, for one. In a lot of cases, particularly in females, maxillary premolars will have a lot of mobility associated with those teeth.

in the treatment of those So oral conditions usually some sort of occlusal therapy, whether it be a NightGuard or some other type of occlusal adjustment or something, there relationship there. So many patients aren't going to realize that, one, they even have periodontal disease, but secondly that they may benefit from the use of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	some sort of occlusal appliance.
2	And so I would think in the labeling that
3	was passed out one of the things that we might want to
4	add to that instruction is the statement that
5	mouthguards may be needed for reasons that are not
6	obvious to the patient, and I don't think we can lose
7	sight of the fact that this is an integral part of
8	some periodontal therapy.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay, but to
10	summarize at least the majority of the panel feels
11	that the layperson is not in the position to diagnose
12	their oral health status. The layperson can, in fact,
13	determine their need for a dental mouthguard, and the
14	layperson cannot determine the design of a specific
15	NightGuard.
16	DR. COCHRAN: A point of clarification on
17	that second bullet. That was for bruxism.
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Yes, for bruxism and
19	teeth grinding.
20	Okay. Question No. 3: is OTC use
21	appropriate for all designs of dental mouthguards?
22	I'll begin to poll the panel again to

1	answer this o	question. Dr. Cochran.
2	1	DR. COCHRAN: I would answer no.
3		CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. O'Brien.
4		DR. O'BRIEN: No.
5		CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zero.
6		DR. ZERO: No.
7		CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Zuniga.
8]	DR. ZUNIGA: No.
9		CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Ms. Howe.
10	1	MS. HOWE: I'd like to pass on that right
11	now. Thank y	you.
12		CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Mr. Schechter.
13	1	MR. SCHECHTER: I don't have an opinion.
14		CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Bakland.
15]	DR. BAKLAND: No.
16		CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Dr. Demko.
17		DR. DEMKO: No.
18		CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Are any of them
19	appropriate f	for OTC use? The question is: is OTC use
20	appropriate	for all designs of NightGuards? Are any
21	appropriate?	
22]	DR. O'BRIEN: I would make a comment in

1	that many people have mouthguards, including myself,
2	and one of the problems with mouthguards is loss, if
3	you lost your mouthguard while you're traveling or
4	something like that, which is very common, I
5	understand. I guard mine very carefully.
6	If there was one of these designs that was
7	a temporary one that you could use until you get home
8	where you have your dentist make another one, that
9	would be certainly useful, but I haven't heard enough
10	about that.
11	Is there one of these designs that would
12	be a temporary type that would be useful between
13	mouthguards or if they're lost?
13	mouthguards or if they're lost?
13 14	mouthguards or if they're lost? CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: So as a provisional
13 14 15	mouthguards or if they're lost? CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: So as a provisional use until you get back to your dentist.
13 14 15 16	mouthguards or if they're lost? CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: So as a provisional use until you get back to your dentist. DR. O'BRIEN: Provisional would be.
13 14 15 16 17	mouthguards or if they're lost? CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: So as a provisional use until you get back to your dentist. DR. O'BRIEN: Provisional would be. DR. COCHRAN: For \$25 you can get one.
13 14 15 16 17 18	mouthguards or if they're lost? CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: So as a provisional use until you get back to your dentist. DR. O'BRIEN: Provisional would be. DR. COCHRAN: For \$25 you can get one. DR. O'BRIEN: What's that?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	mouthguards or if they're lost? CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: So as a provisional use until you get back to your dentist. DR. O'BRIEN: Provisional would be. DR. COCHRAN: For \$25 you can get one. DR. O'BRIEN: What's that? DR. COCHRAN: For \$25 you can get one.

1	evidence to say that anything that is currently on the
2	marketplace has done any damage.
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Is that enough
4	for FDA or would you like further clarification?
5	PARTICIPANT: That's good.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you.
7	We may have time for one more question.
8	Of the dental mouthguard designs supported for OTC
9	use, please recommend the following regarding the
10	device's labeling.
11	Those questions are listed on the screen
12	and we can poll the panel and determine them one at a
13	time.
14	Dr. Cochran.
15	DR. COCHRAN: I'm not sure what the
16	question is.
17	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: What information
18	should be provided to help the lay user determine the
19	need for the device? What information should be
20	provided to help the lay user ascertain the proper
21	fix? Select an appropriate design or be aware of the
22	contraindications

1	DR. COCHRAN: question please.
2	DR. COCHRAN: Okay. Dr. Bakland.
3	DR. BAKLAND: This would refer
4	specifically to the labeling of the device as I
5	understand it, correct?
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Yes.
7	DR. BAKLAND: Okay. Then that might help.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. This is with
9	respect to the labeling.
10	DR. COCHRAN: I guess you're asking my
11	view on this.
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: This is Dr. Cochran.
13	DR. COCHRAN: Yeah. I would think that
14	what we have before us that was passed out for the "do
15	not use," the "ask dentist," and the "stop use" are
16	appropriate, but I would make the addition that
17	mouthguards may be needed for other reasons that the
18	patient is unaware of.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Proper fit of
20	the device?d
21	DR. COCHRAN: Yes, if the product falls
22	out. So I think that's related.

1	DR. DEMKO: Well, that speaks to
2	retention, it doesn't necessarily speak to fit.
3	DR. COCHRAN: Right, right.
4	DR. DEMKO: It may not cover the distal
5	molars and you can get extrusion of those teeth.
6	DR. COCHRAN: Right.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: The Chair and the
8	secretary don't have copies of the document. Are
9	there any more?
10	Okay. So, Dr. Cochran, you were referring
11	to this document.
12	DR. COCHRAN: Yes.
13	PARTICIPANT: Those were what I had given
14	you, Mr. Chairman, at the end of the morning.
15	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Yes, and the Chair
16	and the secretary passed it out to everybody else.
17	Okay. Dr. O'Brien, your comment? What
18	information should be provided to help the lay user?
19	Are you going to refer to this document also?
20	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Do you agree
22	with Dr. Cochran's comments then?

1	DR. O'BRIEN: What does it have to do with
2	this document?
3	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: When not to use it,
4	be aware of the contraindications.
5	DR. O'BRIEN: Yes, yes, should be aware of
6	that, yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Zero.
8	DR. ZERO: Yeah, i think this covers most
9	of the issues in question.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Zuniga.
11	DR. ZUNIGA: I would like to just point
12	out a couple of things regarding certainly the proper
13	fit of the device. I think it should inform the
14	individual if the device causes pain, wearing the
15	device causes pain, or causes pressure. I think you
16	have to clarify individuals will describe pain and
17	pressure differently and they may indicate pending
18	tooth movement, those types of things.
19	Under select appropriate design, I think
20	that there should be clarification that the device
21	should cover the entire teeth in the arch, whatever
22	arch is being covered for the reasons of orthodontic

1	movements, and not to use the device if that changes
2	in time because I assume these devices will change
3	over time because of their physical properties. So I
4	don't know.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
6	DR. ZERO: And then I agree with Dr.
7	Cochran that the addition under aware of
8	contraindications include periodontal disorders or
9	other.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you.
11	Any other comments or questions on this
12	question? Yes, Dr. Bakland.
13	DR. BAKLAND: My support for this would be
14	that the label should clearly spell out all four of
15	these areas, and that the recommendation by I believe
16	it's Dental Concepts on the warning should be
17	included.
18	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Demko.
19	DR. DEMKO: And I'm going back to my
20	original labeling that I think there should be a
21	comment made that bruxism is a medical disorder and
22	may indicate a more serious disorder.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Any other
2	discussion?
3	So under contraindications we wanted to
4	add if the device causes pain or tooth movement I
5	believe was the word used, and if there are any other
6	medical complications to be identified, too; is that
7	correct, Dr. Demko?
8	DR. DEMKO: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay, and any other
10	questions or comments from the panel?
11	DR. ZERO: Just on the third point, select
12	an appropriate design, I don't see how we're getting
13	at that question.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Did FDA have a
15	question on appropriate design? Because I believe
16	that in the question above we indicated that OTC is
17	not appropriate for all designs.
18	MR. MULRY: I think we were kind of
19	looking to have some input on full versus partial
20	coverage and then soft versus hard, whether there
21	would be any differentiation in OTC use for one versus
22	the other.

1	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Would the
2	panel members like to comment on that question, more
3	specific question, on full versus partial coverage,
4	hard versus soft? Dr. Cochran.
5	DR. COCHRAN: If you want me to go first,
6	I would say full should be full coverage, and I don't
7	think if it matters if it's soft or hard as long as
8	these labeling instructions go with it.
9	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Any other
10	comments or questions?
11	(No response.0
12	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. I believe that
13	summarized it. Is that okay?
14	Now, the question is should we do Question
15	5. Okay. Let's do Question 5 and then we'll recess
16	for lunch.
17	Do you believe a clinical study, actual
18	home use study is needed to support an OTC indication
19	for dental mouthguards? If not, please discuss your
20	reasons. If so, please discuss the following aspects
21	of the study needed for study design endpoints,
22	adverse events that would be considered significant.

1	Okay. Dr. Cochran.
2	DR. COCHRAN: I don't think we need a
3	study. We were talking about evidence based. There's
4	no evidence that it's particularly effective or
5	ineffective. The patient does the self-diagnosis, as
6	was pointed out, and if it's not working, the patient
7	is not going to use it.
8	So in my view I don't think you really
9	need a study.
10	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. O'Brien.
11	DR. O'BRIEN: A study would be useful to
12	determine whether or not the patient following the
13	directions actually obtains a good fit. In other
14	study, researchers would assess the level of fit.
15	Also in terms of feedback from the patient
16	in terms of whether they continue to use the
17	mouthguard or have any particular problems with them,
18	and to see if there's any adverse effects by the use
19	of the mouthguard, by questioning the patient, also
20	by examination.
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Zero.
22	DR. ZERO: Again, it's very hard for a

1	clinical researcher to say that you don't need a
2	study, but I would agree that in this case there isn't
3	a need. I think there is some built in market
4	surveillance that would occur, as would any FDA
5	adverse event. So I'm okay without a study.
6	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Zuniga.
7	DR. ZUNIGA: I would say no if the
8	indications were bruxism and tooth grinding only. I
9	would say yes if there was compelling evidence that
10	there's significant adverse events, such as suggested
11	by Respironics, but I'm not sure that it was
12	compelling today.
13	I would say yes if the indications were
14	for change and short-term pain relief was added. Then
15	I think you should be evidence based for an OTC use.
16	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Thank you.
17	Did you want to make a comment?
18	DR. DEMKO: To Dr. Zuniga.
19	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay.
20	DR. DEMKO: Basically on what
21	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: This is Dr. Demko.
22	DR. DEMKO: Dr. Demko.

at a pilot study done by Eve Gagnan, who is one of Gene Levigne's graduate students, and talking about how patients with obstructive sleep apnea could potentially get worse. None of the numbers were statistically significant. It was a very small number of patients, which is why if you read this very difficult to read, quite how they stated it here.

Secondly, feedback from Dr. Mehta quoting a different study, those are different people. Those people that he quoted in the May 2004 study did not have sleep apnea, whereas the other ones did, and you have to take into account that patients wearing appliances for obstructive sleep apnea, published literature shows that ten to 15 percent of those people wearing a mandibular repositioning device also get worse.

So because we're not treating a disease here, we're treating symptoms of a disease, I think that we're arguing apples and oranges.

CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. The industry representations, Ms. Howe.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MS. HOWE: I'd say there's no need for any
2	clinical research considering these products have been
3	on the markets for years, sold to millions of people
4	with no negative responses.
5	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Mr. Schechter.
6	MR. SCHECHTER: I think now study would be
7	needed.
8	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. Dr. Bakland?
9	DR. BAKLAND: In the absence of a problem,
10	there doesn't seem to be any need for any study.
11	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay, and Dr. Demko.
12	DR. DEMKO: I agree there's no need for a
13	study.
14	CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Okay. To summarize
15	for the FDA, no study is currently needed with the
16	indications for bruxism and tooth grinding, and we
17	will rely on market surveillance and FDA adverse
18	effects to be reported.
19	Okay. This concludes our program. I wish
20	to thank the members of the panel and the speakers for
21	their presentation and participation of this meeting.
22	Before we adjourn, the FDA would like to make a brief

1	presentation.
2	Dr. Runner.
3	DR. RUNNER: Thank you.
4	We have several panel members who this
5	will be their final panel meeting, including our
6	distinguished Chair, and we would like to offer you
7	some appreciation for your hard work over the years,
8	and it's a small government appreciation. So for Dr.
9	Jon Suzuki, who has been our Chair for the last
10	several years, thank you very much.
11	(Applause.)
12	DR. RUNNER: Also leaving our panel this
13	year is Dr. David Cochran, and we also appreciate your
14	work on the Dental Products Panel. Thank you.
15	(Applause.)
16	DR. RUNNER: Also our consumer
17	representative, Ms. Elizabeth Howe, this is her last
18	panel meeting as well, and thank you very much for
19	your participation.
20	(Applause.)
21	DR. RUNNER: And final, Mr. Daniel
22	Schechter, our industry representative, this is also

his final meeting. Thank you very much for your hard
work and participation.
(Applause.)
CHAIRPERSON SUZUKI: Thank you, Dr.
Runner.
Since there is no further business, I
would like to adjourn this meeting of the Dental
Products Panel.
Thank you.
(Whereupon, at 11:37 a.m., the above-
referenced meeting was concluded.)